

Ecological site R010XY121OR Droughty Clayey Fan 9-12 PZ

Accessed: 05/12/2025

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Table 1. Dominant plant species	nant plant species
---------------------------------	--------------------

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) Atriplex confertifolia
Herbaceous	(1) Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata (2) Poa secunda

Physiographic features

This site occurs on low elevation fans composed of early Cenezoic tuffaceous sediments. Slopes range form 1 to 5%. Elevation varies from 1300 to 2400 feet.

Landforms	(1) Fan (2) Hillside
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,300–2,400 ft
Slope	1–5%
Water table depth	60 in
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Climatic features

Elevation and aspect affect precipitation and the relative effectiveness of the precipitation and temperatures. Temperature changes can occur rapidly. In addition, the topography also results in localized cold air drainages, along with occasional cold air entrapment and inversions in the valleys. Annual snowfall is 13 inches to 17 inches, with most coming in the winter and spring. Snow cover is of short duration and melts quickly at low elevations.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	150 days
Freeze-free period (average)	209 days
Precipitation total (average)	12 in



Figure 1. Monthly precipitation range



Figure 2. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature

Influencing water features

Soil features

Soils on this site are Mollisols with predominantly very stony clay of clay textures. The soils are very deep with very fine textures and well drained. These soils are formed from Cenezoic Tuffaceous Sediments that are weathered from John Day (mid-Oligocene) or Clarno (late Eocene) geological formations. The soils are generally sridic. The major taxonomic units correlated to this site include very fine, smectitic, mesic Chromic Haploxererts.

Table 4.	. Representative	soil	features
----------	------------------	------	----------

Surface texture	(1) Very stony clay
Family particle size	(1) Clayey
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Slow to very slow
Soil depth	72 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	27%
Surface fragment cover >3"	13%
Available water capacity (0-40in)	6 in
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-40in)	2%
Electrical conductivity (0-40in)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-40in)	0

Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-40in)	6.6–9
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	13%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	15%

Ecological dynamics

The interpretive plant community for this site is the Historic Climax Plant Community (HCPC). Grasses with few forbs and shrubs dominate this plant community. Fluctuations in species composition and relative production may change from year to year dependent upon abnormal precipitation or other climatic factors. The historic climax plant community has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excess grazing. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

State and transition model



GENERAL MODEL FOR COOL-SEASON BUNCHGRASS RANGELANDS

State 1

Reference Plant Community, Shadscale Saltbrush, Bluebunch wheatgrass, Sandberg bluegrass

Community 1.1

Reference Plant Community, Shadscale Saltbrush, Bluebunch wheatgrass, Sandberg

bluegrass

RPC - Dominated by Shadscale saltbush, Bluebunch wheatgrass and Sandberg bluegrass. This plant community evolved without grazing by large herbivores and with fire frequency of every 5 to 10 years. About 10% of the plant composition is made up of forbs and 30% of shrubs. Shadscale strongly correlated with a clay surface and decreases as the surface becomes coarser.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Lb/Acre)	Representative Value (Lb/Acre)	High (Lb/Acre)
Grass/Grasslike	120	240	360
Shrub/Vine	60	120	180
Forb	20	40	60
Total	200	400	600



OR4161, B10 JD FAN & SWALE 9-16. B10B FAN, SWALE, Gumbo, & JD Sandy Lm 9-16 RPC Growth Curve.

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Grass/Grasslike 1 40–50 Sandberg bluegrass POSE Poa secunda 15–25	
1 40–50 Sandberg bluegrass POSE Poa secunda 15–25 15–25	
Sandberg bluegrass POSE Poa secunda 15–25 sand demaged SPOR Sport below structured rule 15	
and demand CDCD Characterize 45.05	
sand dropseed SPCR Sporobolus cryptandrus 15–25	
2 180–280	-
bluebunch wheatgrass PSSPS <i>Pseudoroegneria spicata ssp. spicata</i> 160–240	
squirreltail ELEL5 <i>Elymus elymoides</i> 10–20	_
Forb	
3 20–60	
onion ALLIU Allium 1–5	-
buckwheat ERIOG Eriogonum 1–5	_
spinystar ESVIV Escobaria vivipara var. vivipara 1–5	_
desertparsley LOMAT <i>Lomatium</i> 1–5	-
pricklypear OPUNT Opuntia 1–5	_
globemallow SPHAE Sphaeralcea 1–5	-
clover TRIFO <i>Trifolium</i> 1–5	_
Shrub/Vine	
4 60–180	
shadscale saltbushATCOAtriplex confertifolia40–120	_
yellow rabbitbrush CHVI8 Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus 15–25	_
broom snakeweed GUSA2 <i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i> 15–25	_

Animal community

Grazing Livestock grazing is suitable for this site as long as management objectives include the improvement or maintenance of this site. It is easy to overuse this site and cause a shift in vegetation that is difficult to change. This site has the potential to produce a large amount of high quality forage. Management should be aimed at harvesting the forage as quickly as possible, letting the site recover from the grazing event prior to fall dormancy. Initial stocking rates will be determined with the landowner or decionmaker. They will be based on past use histories and type and condition of the vegetation. Calculations used to determine an initial starting stocking rate will be based on forage preference ratings. WIdlife The main wildlife species of concern on this site are large herbivores. These are mule deer and elk. These wildlife species can possibly overuse this site before the time cattle or sheep are planned to be grazed. Being an open grassland, this site is home to a variety of small herbivores, birds, and their associated predators. This site is mainly a forage area for the larger wildlife. No threatened or endangered wildlife species rely on this site for any of their habitat requirements.

Hydrological functions

The site has a high potential in low seral condition to produce run-off to receiving waters. The hydrology of this site is characterized by high intensity thunderstorms during the summer months and by low intensity frontal storms during the winter.

Recreational uses

None

Wood products

No wood products are associated with this site.

Other products

None

Other information

Increase in western juniper and subsequent competition for moisture will lead to a reduction of available forage. Overgrazing can easily reduce ground cover and accelerate soil loss. Improving infiltration and permeability, and reducing run-off should be the immediate goal of juniper control.

Type locality

Location 1: Wheeler County, OR		
Township/Range/Section	T10S R21E S31	
General legal description	SW 1/4 SW 1/4 Sec 31. Painted Hills tour route. (40% SI)	

Other references

Soil Conservation Service, Relative Forage Preference of Plants for Grazing Use by Season, Range Technical Note No. 16, 1982. Western Regional Climate Center, NOAA, National Weather Service, Portland, OR. Web site - http://nimbo.wrh.noaa.gov/Portland/climate.html. Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington, Jerry F. Franklin and C. T. Dyrness. The Ecological Provinces of Oregon, E. William Anderson, Michael M. Borman, and William C. Krueger.

Contributors

Cici Brooks Ed Petersen, Rangeland Management Specialist, John Day, Oregon

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:

- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values):
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

^{14.} Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
- 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: