

Ecological site R013XY001ID Loamy 12-16 PZ

Last updated: 12/11/2019 Accessed: 05/12/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | Dave Franzen and Jacy Gibbs. |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Contact for lead author | Brendan Brazee, State Range Conservationist USDA-NRCS 9173 W. Barnes Drive, Suite C Boise, ID 83709 |
| Date | 03/28/2007 |
| Approved by | Kendra Moseley |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

| 1. | Number and extent of rills: Rills: rarely occur on this site. If rills are present they are likely to occur on slopes greater |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | than 15 percent and immediately following wildfire. Rills are most likely to occur on soils with surface textures of silt loam |
| | and clay loam. |

- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water-Flow Patterns: rarely occur on this site. When they occur they are short and disrupted by cool season grasses and tall shrubs and are not extensive.
- 3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Pedestals and/or Terracettes: are rare on this site. In areas where slopes approach 15 percent and where flow patterns and/or rills are present, a few pedestals may be expected.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground: On sites in mid-seral status bare ground may range from 30-50 percent.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gullies: none

| 6. | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Wind-Scoured, Blowouts, and/or Deposition Areas: usually not present. Immediately following wildfire some soil movement may occur on lighter textured soils. |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7. | Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter Movement: fine litter in the interspaces may move up to 2 feet following a significant run-off event. Coarse litter generally does not move. |
| 8. | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Surface Resistance to Erosion: Values should range from 4 to 6 |
| 9. | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil Surface Loss or Degradation: the A or A1 horizon is typically 2 to 16 inches thick. Structure ranges from weak, moderate, and strong very fine, fine, moderate medium, or weak coarse granular to weak very thin, thin, medium, thick, very thick, or moderate thick platy to weak, moderate and strong fine or medium subangular blocky. Soil organic matter (SOM) ranges from 0.2 to 6 percent. |
| 10. | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Plant Community Composition and Distribution Relative to Infiltration: bunchgrasses, especially deep-rooted perennials, slow run-off and increase infiltration. Tall shrubs accumulate snow in the interspaces. |
| 11. | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compaction Layer: not present. |
| 12. | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): |
| | Dominant: Functional/ Structural Groups: cool season deep-rooted perennial bunchgrasses >> tall shrubs = perennial forbs > shallow rooted bunchgrasses. |
| | Sub-dominant: |
| | Other: |
| | Additional: |
| 13. | Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Plant Mortality/ Decadence: mountain big sagebrush will become decadent in the absence of fire and ungulate grazing. Grass and forb mortality will occur as tall shrubs increase. |
| | |

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter Amount: additional litter cover data is needed but is expected

| 15. | Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Annual Production: is 1200 pounds per acre (1344 kilograms per hectare) in a year with normal temperatures and precipitation. Perennial grasses produce 60-80 percent of the total production, forbs 10-20 percent and shrubs 10-20 percent. |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 16. | Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Invasive Plants: includes Kentucky bluegrass, whitetop, rush skeletonweed, musk thistle, Canada thistle, scotch thistle, leafy spurge, and diffuse and spotted knapweed. |
| 17. | Perennial plant reproductive capability: Reproductive Capability of Perennial plants: all functional groups have the potential to reproduce in most years. |
| | |

to be 20-25 percent to a depth of 0.1 inches. Under mature shrubs litter is >0.5 inches deep and is 90-100 percent

ground cover.