

Ecological site R024XY023NV NORTH SLOPE 14+ P.Z.

Last updated: 3/07/2025 Accessed: 05/13/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Patti Novak-Echenique
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	02/05/2010
Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1.	Number and extent of rills: Rills are none to rare. Rock fragments armor the soil surface.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are none to rare.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are none to rare. Occurrence is usually limited to areas of water flow patterns. Frost heaving of shallow rooted plants should not be considered a "normal" condition.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground is ± 10-20%.		
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gullies are none.		
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None		

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage from grasses and

14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Within plant interspaces (± 20%) and depth of litter is <½ inch.
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 25% of total woody canopy; some of the mature bunchgrasses (<20%) have dead centers.
	Additional:
	Other:
	Sub-dominant: Tall shrubs (i.e., mountain big sagebrush) > shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses > associated shrubs > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial and annual forbs
	Dominant: Reference Plant Community: Deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are not typical. Subangular blocky or massive sub-surface horizons or subsoil argillic horizons are not to be interpreted as compacted layers.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Perennial herbaceous plants (i.e., Idaho fescue) slow runoff and increase infiltration. Shrub canopy and associated litter break raindrop impact and provide opportunity for snow catch and accumulation on site.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Surface structure is medium platy or very fine to medium granular. Soil surface colors are very dark and soils are typified by a mollic epipedon. Organic matter of the surface 2 to 3 inches is typically less than 5 percent dropping off quickly below. Organic matter content can be more or less depending on micro-topography.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values should be 3 to 6 on most soil textures found on this site. Areas of this site occurring on soils that have a physical crust will probably have stability values less than 3. (To be field tested.)

total	production.
wiai	DI OGUCLIOII.

- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Increasers include Douglas rabbitbrush and mountain big sagebrush, Invaders include halogeton, Russian thistle, bassia, annual mustards, and cheatgrass.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above average growing season years.