

Ecological site R029XY025NV STREAMBANK 10-14 P.Z.

Last updated: 2/20/2025 Accessed: 05/12/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | GK BRACKLEY |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Contact for lead author | State Rangeland Management Specialist |
| Date | 06/20/2006 |
| Approved by | Kendra Moseley |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

| Indicators | | |
|------------|---|--|
| 1. | Number and extent of rills: Rills are rare. | |
| 2. | Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are rare | |
| 3. | Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are rare. | |
| 4. | Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground ± 50%; surface rock fragments ±15%; tree canopy <10%; shrub canopy 20%; basal area for perennial herbaceous plants ±5%. | |
| 5. | Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gullies may be observed in areas where gullies and head cuts associated with ephemeral channel entrenchment are common. Gullies and head cuts should be healing or stable. | |
| 6. | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None | |

| 7. | Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage from grasses and annual & perennial forbs) is expected to move the distance of slope length during intense summer convection storms or severe flooding events. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during catastrophic events. |
|------------|--|
| 8. | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values should be 3 to 6 on most soil textures found on this site. Areas of this site occurring on soils that have a physical crust will probably have stability values less than 3. (To be field tested.) |
| 9. | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Surface structure is typically thin to thick platy or massive. Soil surface colors are light and the soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Organic carbon of the surface 2 to 3 inches is typically 1 to 1.5 percent dropping off quickly below. Organic matter content can be more or less depending on micro-topography. |
|). | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Perennial herbaceous plants (especially deep-rooted bunchgrasses [i.e., basin wildrye] slow runoff and increase infiltration. Tree canopy (less than 10%) and understory shrubs (including associated litter) break raindrop impact and provide opportunity for snow catch and accumulation on site. |
| | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are not typical. Platy or massive sub-surface horizons, subsoil argillic horizons or hardpans shallow to the surface are not to be interpreted as compacted layers. |
| <u>2</u> . | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): |
| | Dominant: Reference Plant Community: Tall, non-sprouting, shrubs > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses = rhizomatous grasses = associated, crown-sprouting, shrubs. (By above ground production) |
| | Sub-dominant: Trees (less than 10% canopy cover) = deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs > fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = annual forbs. (By above ground production) |
| | Other: |
| | Additional: |
| 3. | Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs are common and standing dead shrub canopy material to 15% of total woody canopy; some of the mature bunchgrasses (<5%) have dead centers. |
| 1 . | Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Within plant interspaces (± 20%) and depth of litter is <½ inch |
| 5. | Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual- |

| Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize |
|--|
| degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if |
| heir future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that |

invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state

production): For normal or average growing season \pm 700 lbs/ac.

for the ecological site: Cheatgrass and Utah juniper are invaders on this site.

16.

| 17. | Perennial plant reproductive capability: All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above |
|-----|--|
| | average growing season years. |

become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not