

## Ecological site R030XC320AZ Sandy Loam Terrace 10-13" p.z.

Last updated: 10/21/2024

Accessed: 05/13/2025

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.




Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 030X–Mojave Basin and Range

This unit occurs within the Basin and Range Province and is characterized by broad basins, valleys, and old lakebeds. Widely spaced mountains trending north to south occur throughout the area. Isolated, short mountain ranges are separated by an aggraded desert plain. The mountains are fault blocks that have been tilted up. Long alluvial fans coalesce with dry lakebeds between some of the ranges.

### LRU notes

AZ LRU 30-3 – Upper Mohave Desert

Elevations range from 2800 to 4500 feet and precipitation averages 9 to 12 inches per year. Vegetation includes Joshua tree, blackbrush, creosotebush, ratany, bush muhly, big galleta, black grama, desert needlegrass, and Indian ricegrass. The soil temperature regime is thermic and the soil moisture regime is typic aridic.

### Ecological site concept

This ecological site is located on gently sloping uplands. Soils are moderately deep to deep gravelly sandy loams that are violently effervescent throughout.

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Ephedra nevadensis</i> (2) <i>Yucca baccata</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Muhlenbergia porteri</i> (2) <i>Bouteloua eriopoda</i>

## Physiographic features

This ecological site is found in an upland position on low stream terrace along major drainageways.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Drainageway (2) Stream terrace
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	914–1,128 m
Slope	0–5%
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

## Climatic features

The climate is arid and warm. Annual precipitation ranges from 10 to 13 inches. About 65 percent of the rainfall comes from October through May as gentle rain from Pacific storms which may last for a couple of days. The rest of the rainfall comes during the summer monsoon season from July through September as spotty, brief, intense thunderstorms. Snow rarely falls, and only remains on the ground a few hours at most. Annual air temperature ranges from 46 to 76 degrees F. The average frost-free period ranges from 121 to 231 days.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

Frost-free period (average)	231 days
Freeze-free period (average)	269 days
Precipitation total (average)	330 mm

## Influencing water features

### Soil features

The soil of this ecological site is deep with surface textures of very gravelly sandy loam and gravelly sandy loam. Subsoil textures are gravelly fine sandy loam, extremely gravelly sandy loam, sandy loam and sandy clay loam. The available water capacity is moderate. The soil's erosion hazard for wind and water is slight. The soil is non-saline, non-sodic with a pH of 7.4-8.4. The soil moisture regime is typic aridic and temperature regime is thermic.

A typical soil profile is:

0 to 3 inches; very gravelly sandy loam; violently effervescent  
 3 to 35 inches; gravelly fine sandy loam; violently effervescent  
 35 to 49 inches; gravelly fine sandy loam; violently effervescent  
 49 to 61 inches; extremely gravelly sandy loam; violently effervescent

The taxonomic classification of the soil is Coarse-loamy, mixed, thermic Typic Camborthids.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**


Surface texture	(1) Very gravelly sandy loam (2) Gravelly sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid
Soil depth	152 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	70–80%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–15%
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	12.7–16.51 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10–20%
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.4–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	50–80%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	10–70%

## Ecological dynamics

Sandy Loam Terrace, 10"-13" p.z., is a grassland ecological site. Shrubs are well-dispersed across the site. Annual forbs and grasses flourish following rainfall. Natural disturbances are rare. After introduction of non-native annuals (forbs and/or grasses), the shift in total productivity is shift increased seasonal herbaceous production following periods of rain. Continuous, yearlong, livestock grazing will remove the perennial grass dominance.

## State and transition model

### Ecosystem states



State 1 submodel, plant communities

1.1. Historic Climax  
Plant Community

State 2 submodel, plant communities

2.1. Non-native  
Annuals

State 3 submodel, plant communities

3.1. Shrubs with  
Annual Forbs and  
Grasses

State 1  
Historic Climax Plant Community

Community 1.1  
Historic Climax Plant Community

The dominant aspect of the site is a grassland mixed with shrubs. Bush muhly and black grama are the major grasses; Mexican bladdersage and rayless goldenhead are the main shrubs.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	180	319	547
Shrub/Vine	98	146	312
Forb	2	11	38
Total	280	476	897

Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	0-2%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	1-3%
Forb foliar cover	0%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	0%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%

Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	0%

**Table 7. Canopy structure (% cover)**

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	—	—	—	0-2%
>0.15 <= 0.3	—	—	18-22%	—
>0.3 <= 0.6	—	—	—	—
>0.6 <= 1.4	—	5-7%	—	—
>1.4 <= 4	—	—	—	—
>4 <= 12	—	—	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

**Figure 5. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). AZ3024, 30.3 10-13" p.z. upland sites. Growth begins in the spring and continues through the summer..**

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	1	8	18	18	11	14	20	8	2	0	0

## State 2

### Historic Native Plant Community plus Introduced Annuals

#### Community 2.1

##### Non-native Annuals

This plant community resembles the historic native plant community, but exotic annuals have been introduced. Non-native species include wild oat, red brome, Mediterranean grass (*Schismus* spp.), and filaree. The flourish of non-native annuals that occurs following rainfalls may preclude native annuals.

## State 3

### Shrubland

#### Community 3.1

##### Shrubs with Annual Forbs and Grasses

Years of continuous livestock grazing (same season, yearlong) will remove perennial grasses and reduce desirable shrubs. Native and non-native annuals flourish in the bare interspaces.

## Transition T1A

### State 1 to 2

Introduction of non-native annual forb and grass seed.

## Transition T1B

### State 1 to 3

Yearlong continuous livestock grazing. Introduction of non-native annual forb and grass seed.

## Transition T2A

### State 2 to 3

Yearlong continuous livestock grazing.

## Restoration pathway R3A

### State 3 to 2

Prescribed grazing/no grazing. Range seeding may accelerate establishment of perennial grasses and desirable shrubs.

## Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
<b>Grass/Grasslike</b>					
1				95–119	
	bush muhly	MUPO2	<i>Muhlenbergia porteri</i>	95–119	–
2				72–95	
	black grama	BOER4	<i>Bouteloua eriopoda</i>	72–95	–
3				4–24	
	spike dropseed	SPCO4	<i>Sporobolus contractus</i>	4–24	–
4				4–24	
	mesa dropseed	SPFL2	<i>Sporobolus flexuosus</i>	4–24	–
5				4–24	
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	4–24	–
6				0–15	
	low woollygrass	DAPU7	<i>Dasyochloa pulchella</i>	0–15	–
7				4–24	
	sand dropseed	SPCR	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	4–24	–
8				0–15	
	Parish's threeawn	ARPUP5	<i>Aristida purpurea</i> var. <i>parishii</i>	0–15	–
9				4–24	
	big galleta	PLRI3	<i>Pleuraphis rigida</i>	4–24	–
10				4–24	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	4–24	–
<b>Forb</b>					
11				0–4	
	desert marigold	BAMU	<i>Baileya multiradiata</i>	0–4	–
12				0–9	
	desert globemallow	SPAM2	<i>Sphaeralcea ambigua</i>	0–9	–
13				4–15	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	4–15	–
14				4–9	
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	4–9	–
<b>Shrub/Vine</b>					

15				4–24	
	Mexican bladdersage	SAME	<i>Salazaria mexicana</i>	4–24	–
16				4–24	
	rayless goldenhead	ACSP	<i>Acamptopappus sphaerocephalus</i>	4–24	–
17				9–24	
	Nevada jointfir	EPNE	<i>Ephedra nevadensis</i>	9–24	–
18				4–15	
	tulip pricklypear	OPPH	<i>Opuntia phaeacantha</i>	4–15	–
19				9–24	
	banana yucca	YUBA	<i>Yucca baccata</i>	9–24	–
20				4–15	
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	4–15	–
21				0–9	
	blackbrush	CORA	<i>Coleogyne ramosissima</i>	0–9	–
22				0–15	
	buckhorn cholla	CYACM	<i>Cylindropuntia acanthocarpa</i> var. <i>major</i>	0–15	–
23				9–24	
	catclaw acacia	ACGR	<i>Acacia greggii</i>	9–24	–
24				4–24	
	Shrub, other	2S	<i>Shrub, other</i>	4–24	–

## Contributors

Harmon Hodgkinson  
Harmon S. Hodgkinson  
Larry D. Ellicott  
Stephen Cassady

## Approval

Kendra Moseley, 10/21/2024

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/13/2025
Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:



---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**

---