

## Ecological site R034AY368WY Steep Loamy High Plains Southeast (SLy)

Accessed: 05/13/2025

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/01/2005
Approved by	E. Bainter
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills: Short, broken and discontinuous.
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns: Evident
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Erosional pedestals may be present with small terracettes present at debris dams.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground is 40-50% occurring in small areas throughout site
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should be restricted to areas of concentrated water flow patterns on steeper slopes
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Small scoured sites may be observed

- Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values): Plant cover and litter is at 50% or greater of soil surface and maintains soil surface integrity. Soil Stability class is anticipated to be 3 or greater.
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Use Soil Series description for depth and color of A-horizon
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Grass canopy and basal cover should reduce raindrop impact and slow overland flow providing increased time for infiltration to occur. Infiltration is rapid to very rapid
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer or soil surface crusting should be present.
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional: Mid stature Bunch Grasses > Mid Stature Rhizomatous Grasses > Shrubs > Forbs = Short grasses

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very Low
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Average litter cover is 15-25% with depths of 0.25 to 0.5 inches
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction): 900 lbs/ac
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Sedges, Blue grama, Big sagebrush, Rabbitbrushes, Unpalatable forbs, Annuals, and Species

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing