


## **Ecological site F039XA124AZ** **Sandy Loam 17-22" p.z. Steep (PIPO, POTR5)**

Last updated: 9/05/2019  
 Accessed: 05/11/2025

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



**Figure 1. Mapped extent**

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 039X–Mogollon Transition North

AZ 39.1 Mogollon Plateau Coniferous Forests

Elevations range from 7000 to 12,500 feet and precipitation averages 20 to 35 inches per year. Vegetation includes ponderosa pine, Gambel oak, Arizona walnut, sycamore, Douglas fir, blue spruce, Arizona fescue, sheep fescue, mountain muhly, muttongrass, junegrass, pine dropseed, and dryland sedges. The soil temperature regime ranges from mesic to frigid and the soil moisture regime ranges from typic ustic to udic ustic. This unit occurs within the Colorado Plateau Physiographic Province and is characterized by a sequence of flat to gently dipping sedimentary rocks eroded into plateaus, valleys and deep canyons. Sedimentary rock classes dominate the plateau with volcanic fields occurring for the most part near its margin.

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	(1) <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> (2) <i>Populus tremuloides</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Symphoricarpos oreophilus</i>

Herbaceous	(1) <i>Carex geophila</i> (2) <i>Geranium</i>
------------	--

## Physiographic features

Site occurs on igneous domes on mountain side slopes.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Dome (2) Mountain slope
Elevation	7,500–9,500 ft
Slope	5–70%

## Climatic features

About 40% of the moisture in this Common Resource Area (CRA), or Land Resource Unit (LRU) comes as rain from June to September. The remainder comes from October to May as snow or light rain. Extreme temperatures of 97 and -37 degrees Fahrenheit have been recorded. Some moisture is usually received every month.

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

Frost-free period (average)	168 days
Freeze-free period (average)	120 days
Precipitation total (average)	22 in

## Influencing water features

### Soil features

Soils mapped on this site include: from SSA-637 Yavapai County Western Part MU's MbC, MbF & MdF-Mirabal.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Surface texture	(1) Stony loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate to moderately rapid
Soil depth	40–60 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	40–60%
Surface fragment cover >3"	5–30%
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	40–60%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	5–30%

## Ecological dynamics

The plant communities found on an ecological site are naturally variable. Composition and production will vary with yearly conditions, location, aspect, and the natural variability of the soils. The historical climax plant community represents the natural potential plant communities found on relict or relatively undisturbed sites. Other plant communities described here represent plant communities that are known to occur when the site is disturbed by


factors such as grazing, fire, or drought.

Production data provided in this site description is standardized to air-dry weight at the end of the summer growing season. The plant communities described in this site description are based on near normal rainfall years.


NRCS uses a Similarity Index to compare existing plant communities to the plant communities described here. Similarity Index is determined by comparing the production and composition of a plant community to the production and composition of a plant community described in this site description. To determine Similarity Index, compare the production (air-dry weight) of each species to that shown in the plant community description. For each species, count no more than the maximum amount shown for the species, and for each group, count no more than the maximum shown for the group. Divide the resulting total by the total normal year production shown in the plant community description. If rainfall has been significantly above or below normal, use the total production shown for above or below normal years. If field data is not collected at the end of the summer growing season, then the field data must be corrected to the end of the year production before comparing it to the site description. The growth curve can be used as a guide for estimating production at the end of the summer growing season.

## State and transition model

### Ecosystem states



### State 1 submodel, plant communities



## State 1 Reference State

### Community 1.1 Reference Community Phase

Mature Ponderosa pine, aspen and douglas fir.

### Community 1.2 Less trees

Less trees and greater density of grass

### Community 1.3 Young trees

A few old growth trees and many young, regenerating saplings along with a dense understory

**Pathway 1.1A**  
**Community 1.1 to 1.2**

Tree Removal

**Pathway 1.2A**  
**Community 1.2 to 1.1**

Tree Growth

**Pathway 1.2B**  
**Community 1.2 to 1.3**

Fire thinning out overstory

**Pathway 1.3A**  
**Community 1.3 to 1.1**

Time for overstory regrowth

**Pathway 1.3B**  
**Community 1.3 to 1.2**

Time for regrowth, coupled with thinning

**State 2**  
**Eroded State**

Tree canopy removed, grasses and forbs are dominant

**Transition T1A**  
**State 1 to 2**

Catastrophic Wildfire

**Restoration pathway R2A**  
**State 2 to 1**

Tree planting coupled with understory management

**Additional community tables**

**Contributors**

Karlynn Huling  
Larry D. Ellicott

**Approval**

Scott Woodall, 9/05/2019

**Rangeland health reference sheet**

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators

are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

- 
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

- 
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**

- 
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

- 
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**

- 
17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-