

Ecological site R040XA113AZ Loamy Slopes 10"-13" p.z.

Accessed: 05/12/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | Dave Womack, Dan Robinett, Emilio Carrillo |
|---|--|
| Contact for lead author | NRCS Tucson Area Office |
| Date | 03/08/2005 |
| Approved by | S. Cassady |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

| Inc | Indicators | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Number and extent of rills: None | | | | | |
| 2. | Presence of water flow patterns: Uncommon, probably cover no more than 10% of area; discontinuous; 10-15 feet in length. | | | | | |
| 3. | Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are uncomon on perennial grass and shrubs; terracettes are uncommon. | | | | | |
| 4. | Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 15-20% | | | | | |
| 5. | Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None | | | | | |
| 6. | Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None | | | | | |

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Most litter size classes stay in place.

| 8. | Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Expect values of 1-3 in canopy interspaces, 4-6 under plant canopies. | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 9. | Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Weak thir platy to weak granuar; color is 7.5-10YR4/4 dry, 7.5-10YR3/2 moist; thickness to 1 inch. | | | | | | |
| 10. | Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Canopy cover 15-25%; 20-30% perennial grasses, 5% perennial forbs,40-50% trees and 10-20% shrubs and subshrubs. Cover is well dispersed throughout site. | | | | | | |
| 11. | Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None | | | | | | |
| | Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): | | | | | | |
| | Dominant: trees > shrubs > annual grasses and forbs > succulents = perennial forbs = perennial grasses (Note: this is following several years of prolonged regional drought.) | | | | | | |
| | Sub-dominant: | | | | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | | |
| | Additional: | | | | | | |
| 13. | Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): 90-100% perennial grass plants have likely been lost in recent prolonged drought; 20-50% canopy mortality of shrubs and trees. | | | | | | |
| 14. | Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): | | | | | | |
| 15. | Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 134 lbs/ac unfavorable precipitation; 500 lbs/ac normal precipitation; 1095 lbs/ac favorable precipitation. | | | | | | |
| 16. | Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not | | | | | | |

invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state

for the ecological site: triangle bursage, prickly pear, white thorn acacia, bufflegrass

| Perennial plant reproductive capability: Not impaired for shrubs; drought impaire for perennial grasses and forbs. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |