## Ecological site R046XC516MT Silty Steep (SiStp) RRU 46-C 13-19 PZ

Last updated: 7/19/2023 Accessed: 05/11/2025

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	G. Petersen K. Walstad
Contact for lead author	grant.petersen@usda.gov
Date	03/01/2020
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

- 1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rills are not present in the reference condition on slopes less than 15%, if present will be short and inconspicuous on the steepest southerly facing slopes.
- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are rare in the reference condition, but may be present on the steeper, south facing slopes when runoff exceeds infiltration. These patterns will be short and infrequent across the landscape.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are not evident in the reference condition.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground is 0-10%. It consists of small, randomly scattered patches.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gullies are not present in the reference condition.
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Wind scoured, or depositional areas are not evident in the reference condition.

- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter movement is not evident in the reference condition.
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values): The average soil stability rating is 5-6 under plant canopies and plant interspaces. The A horizon is 4-6 inches thick.
- Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil Structure at the surface is typically strong to medium fine granular. A Horizon should be 4-6 inches thick with color, when wet, typically ranging in Value of 3 or less and Chroma of 3 or less. Local geology may affect color, it is important to reference the Official Series Description (OSD) for characteristic range. https://soilseries.sc.egov.usda.gov/osdname.aspx
- Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Infiltration of the Silty Steep ecological site is high and is well drained. An even distribution of mid stature grasses (65-75%), cool season bunchgrasses/grasslikes (15-20%) along with rhizomatous grass (<5%), forbs (10-15%), and shrubs (5-10%)</li>
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): A compaction layer is not present in the reference condition. Soil profile may contain an abrupt transition to an Argillic horizon which can be misinterpreted as compaction, however, the soil structure will be fine to medium subangular blocky, where a compaction layer will be platy or structureless (massive).
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Mid-statured, perennial bunchgrasses (Primarily bluebunch wheatgrass and green needlegrass with minimal little bluestem possible)

Sub-dominant: shortgrass grasses/grasslikes (needle and thread, prairie Junegrass) ≥ forbs > shrubs > rhizomatous grasses

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Mortality in herbaceous species is not evident. Species with bunch growth forms may have some natural mortality in centers is 3% or less.

distributed on the soil surface and is not at a measurable depth.

- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction): Average annual production is 1480. Low: 1105 High 1900. Production varies based on effective precipitation and natural variability of soil properties for this ecological site.
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). Invasive species on this ecological site include (but not limited to) sulphur cinquefoil, houndstongue, whitetop, Canada thistle, annual brome spp., spotted knapweed, yellow toadflax, leafy spurge, crested wheatgrass, Kentucky bluegrass, smooth brome Native species such as Rocky Mountain juniper, ponderosa pine, Douglas fir, lupine, broom snakeweed, Sandberg's bluegrass, etc. when their populations are significant enough to affect ecological function, indicate site condition departure.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** In the reference condition, all plants are vigorous enough for reproduction either by seed or rhizomes in order to balance natural mortality with species recruitment.