

# Ecological site R047XC006UT Semi-wet Fresh Streambank (narrowleaf cottonwood)

Accessed: 05/12/2025

#### **General information**

**Provisional**. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

#### **Classification relationships**

Modal Soil: Straw CL Moist 2-6% — fine-loamy, mixed Cumulic Haploborolls

#### **Associated sites**

R047XC430UT	Mountain Loam (mountain big sagebrush)
R047XC462UT	Mountain Stony Loam (mountain big sagebrush)

#### Similar sites

R047XC430UT	Mountain Loam (mountain big sagebrush)
R047XC462UT	Mountain Stony Loam (mountain big sagebrush)

#### Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) Populus angustifolia	
Shrub	(1) Salix exigua	
Herbaceous	(1) Leymus cinereus	

## Physiographic features

Gently Sloping Floodplains, Small Valley Bottoms, and Low Terraces adjacent to Stream Bottoms

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Flood plain (2) Valley floor (3) Terrace
Elevation	1,600–2,743 m
Slope	3–15%

#### **Climatic features**

The climate of this zone is characterized by cool, moist summers and cold, snowy winters. Approximately 55 percent of the precipitation occurs as rain from April through September. This site receives additional moisture from flooding and from a water table close enough to the surface to support phreatophytic vegetation. On the average, October, November, and December are the driest months and April, May, and June are the wettest months because of flooding associated with spring runoff.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	110 days
Freeze-free period (average)	0 days
Precipitation total (average)	711 mm




Figure 1. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature

#### Influencing water features

#### Soil features

The soil is deep to very deep with a dark (mollic) surface horizon. It formed in alluvium derived mainly from sedimentary parent materials. The soil is often rocky. The water table is between 20 to 60 inches deep during the plant growth period. Spring season flooding often occurs from runoff. The water supplying capacity is 10 to 12 inches. The available water capacity os 0.04 to 0.17 inches per inch.

Table 4. Representative soil features


Drainage class	Well drained
Soil depth	102–152 cm
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	0.1–0.43 cm

#### **Ecological dynamics**


As this site deteriorates due to grazing pressure, willow, cottonwood suckers and seedlings, and great basin wildrye decrease while big sagebrush, western wheatgrass, rabbitbrush, Kentucky bluegrass, yarrow, weedy forbs increase. When the potential natural plant community is burned, shrubs will temporarily decrease while perennial grasses greatly increase if they are on the site; if not, annuals will increase.

#### State and transition model

#### **Ecosystem states**



#### State 1 submodel, plant communities



## State 1 Reference State

# **Community 1.1 Reference State**

The dominant aspect of this plant community is coyote willow with an understory of narrowleaf cottonwood. The composition by air-dry weight is approximately 40 percent grasses and grasslike plants, 15 percent forbs, 45 percent shrubs, and 5 percent trees.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	516	874	1973
Shrub/Vine	412	581	984
Forb	194	328	412
Tree	65	110	138
Total	1187	1893	3507

#### Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	29-31%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	29-31%
Forb foliar cover	9-11%
Non-vascular plants	0%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	0%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0%
Surface fragments >3"	0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	0%

Table 7. Canopy structure (% cover)

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	_	_	-	_
>0.15 <= 0.3	_	_	-	_
>0.3 <= 0.6	_	_	-	9-11%
>0.6 <= 1.4	_	_	29-31%	_
>1.4 <= 4	_	29-31%	-	_
>4 <= 12	_	_	-	_
>12 <= 24	_	_	-	_
>24 <= 37	_	_	-	_
>37	_	_	-	_

## Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Tree		•			
0	Dominant Trees			22–112	
	narrowleaf cottonwood	POAN3	Populus angustifolia	22–112	_
Shrub	/Vine	•			
0	Dominant Shrub			314–560	
	narrowleaf willow	SAEX	Salix exigua	336–448	_
	western snowberry	SYOC	Symphoricarpos occidentalis	67–112	_
	basin big sagebrush	ARTRT	Artemisia tridentata ssp. tridentata	67–112	_
	chokecherry	PRVI	Prunus virginiana	67–112	_
3	Sub-Dominant Shrubs		314–829		
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	112–224	
	Utah serviceberry	AMUT	Amelanchier utahensis	22–67	
	water birch	BEOC2	Betula occidentalis	22–67	
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVIL4	Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus ssp. lanceolatus	22–67	_
	western white clematis	CLLI2	Clematis ligusticifolia	22–67	
	creeping barberry	MARE11	Mahonia repens	22–67	
	quaking aspen	POTR5	Populus tremuloides	22–67	
	whitestem gooseberry	RIIN2	Ribes inerme	22–67	
	Woods' rose	ROWO	Rosa woodsii	22–67	
	silver buffaloberry	SHAR	Shepherdia argentea	22–67	_
Grass	/Grasslike	•	·		
0	Dominant Grasses			381–673	
	basin wildrye	LECI4	Leymus cinereus	112–224	_
	muttongrass	POFE	Poa fendleriana	67–112	_
	Letterman's needlegrass	ACLE9	Achnatherum lettermanii	67–112	_
	Columbia needlegrass	ACNE9	Achnatherum nelsonii	67–112	_
	Geyer's sedge	CAGE2	Carex geyeri	67–112	_
4			<u>'</u>	157 1000	

1	Sub-Dominant Grasses			757-1009	
	Grass, annual	2GA	Grass, annual	22–336	-
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	22–336	-
	creeping bentgrass	AGST2	Agrostis stolonifera	22–67	_
	California brome	BRCA5	Bromus carinatus	22–67	_
	oniongrass	MEBU	Melica bulbosa	22–67	_
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	22–67	-
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	Pseudoroegneria spicata	22–67	_
Forb	•	-			
0	Dominant Forbs			67–112	
	western sweetroot	osoc	Osmorhiza occidentalis	67–112	_
2	Sub-Dominant Shrubs			762–1614	
	Forb, annual	2FA	Forb, annual	224–336	_
	Forb, perennial	2FP	Forb, perennial	224–336	_
	common yarrow	ACMI2	Achillea millefolium	22–67	_
	sticky purple geranium	GEVI2	Geranium viscosissimum	22–67	-
	common cowparsnip	HEMA80	Heracleum maximum	22–67	-
	aspen pea	LALA6	Lathyrus laetivirens	22–67	_
	silvery lupine	LUARR	Lupinus argenteus ssp. rubricaulis	22–67	-
	feathery false lily of the valley	MARAR	Maianthemum racemosum ssp. racemosum	22–67	-
	slender cinquefoil	POGRF2	Potentilla gracilis var. fastigiata	22–67	_
	lambstongue ragwort	SEIN2	Senecio integerrimus	22–67	_
	tall ragwort	SESE2	Senecio serra	22–67	_
	Missouri goldenrod	SOMI2	Solidago missouriensis	22–67	
	mountain goldenbanner	ТНМОМ3	Thermopsis montana var. montana	22–67	
	white clover	TRRE3	Trifolium repens	22–67	
	tobacco root	VAED	Valeriana edulis	22–67	_

### **Animal community**

This site provides forage for cattle, sheep, and horses during spring, summer, and fall. To ensure the survival of willows and other shrubs, periodic spring deferred grazing is appropriate.

Water, Food, and Cover

Wildlife using this site include rabbit, coyote, badger, mule deer, elk, moose, blackbird, and oriole.

## **Hydrological functions**

The soil series is in hydrologic group b. The hydrologic curve number is 61 when the vegetation is in good condition.

#### **Recreational uses**

Hunting, Hiking, and Camping

#### **Wood products**

Narrowleaf cottonwood has limited use.

#### **Contributors**

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Au	thor(s)/participant(s)				
Сс	ontact for lead author				
Da	ite				
Аp	proved by				
Аp	proval date				
Co	emposition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production			
	licators  Number and extent of rills:				
2.	Presence of water flow patterns:				
3.	Number and height of erosional pedesta	als or terracettes:			
4.	4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):				
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associate	ed with gullies:			
6.	6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:				
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size	e and distance exp	ected to travel):		
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to values):	erosion (stability va	alues are averages - most sites will show a range of		

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant:
	Sub-dominant:
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: