

Ecological site FX052X99X705 Discharge Closed Depression (Cdr)

Last updated: 7/01/2019
Accessed: 05/10/2025

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 052X–Brown Glaciated Plains

The Brown Glaciated Plains, MLRA 52, is an expansive and agriculturally and ecologically significant area. It consists of approximately 14.5 million acres and stretches across 350 miles from east to west, encompassing portions of 15 counties in north-central Montana. This region represents the southwestern limit of the Laurentide Ice Sheet and is considered to be the driest and westernmost area within the vast network of glacially derived prairie pothole landforms of the northern Great Plains. Elevation ranges from 2,000 feet (610 meters) to 4,600 feet (1,400 meters).

Soils are primarily Mollisols, but Entisols, Inceptisols, Alfisols, and Vertisols are also common. Till from continental glaciation is the predominant parent material, but alluvium and bedrock are also common. Till deposits are typically less than 50 feet thick, and in some areas glacially deformed bedrock occurs at or near the soil surface (Soller, 2001). Underlying the till is sedimentary bedrock largely consisting of Cretaceous shale, sandstone, and mudstone (Vuke et al., 2007). It is commonly exposed on hillslopes, particularly along drainageways. Significant alluvial deposits occur along glacial outwash channels and major drainages, including portions of the Missouri, Teton, Marias, Milk, and Frenchman Rivers. Large glacial lakes, particularly in the western half of the MLRA, deposited clayey and silty lacustrine sediments (Fullerton et al., 2013).

Much of the western portion of this MLRA was glaciated towards the end of the Wisconsin age, and the maximum glacial extent occurred approximately 20,000 years ago (Fullerton et al., 2004). The result is a geologically young landscape that is predominantly a level till plain interspersed with lake plains and dominated by soils in the Mollisol and Vertisol orders. These soils are very productive and generally are well-suited to dryland farming. Much of this area is aridic-ustic. Crop-fallow dryland wheat farming is the predominant land use. Areas of rangeland typically are on steep hillslopes along drainages.

The rangeland, much of which is native mixedgrass prairie, increases in abundance in the eastern half of the MLRA. The Wisconsin-age till in the north-central part of this area typically formed large disintegration moraines with steep slopes and numerous poorly drained potholes. A large portion of Wisconsin-age till occurring on the type of the level terrain that would typically be optimal for farming has large amounts of less-suitable sodium-affected Natrustalfs. Significant portions of Blaine, Phillips, and Valley Counties were glaciated approximately 150,000 years ago during the Illinoian age. Due to erosion and dissection of the landscape, many of these areas have steeper slopes and more exposed bedrock than areas glaciated during the Wisconsin age (Fullerton and Colton, 1986).

While much of the rangeland in the aridic-ustic portion of MLRA 52 is classified as belonging to the “dry grassland” climatic zone, sites in portions of southern MLRA 52 may belong to the “dry shrubland” climatic zone. The dry shrubland zone represents the northern-most extent of the big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) steppe on the Great Plains. Because similar soils occur in both southern and northern portions of the MLRA, it is currently hypothesized that climate is the primary driving factor affecting big sagebrush distribution in this area. However the precise factors are not fully understood at this time.

Sizeable tracts of largely unbroken rangeland in the eastern half of the MLRA and adjacent southern Saskatchewan are home to the northern Montana population of greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*), and large portions of this area are considered to be a Priority Area for Conservation (PAC) by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2013). This population is unique among sage grouse populations because many individuals overwinter in the big sagebrush steppe (dry shrubland) in the southern portion of the MLRA and then migrate to the northern portion of the MLRA, which lacks big sagebrush (dry grassland), to live the rest of the year (Smith, 2013).

Areas of the till plain near the Bearpaw and Highwood Mountains as well as the Sweetgrass Hills and Rocky Mountain foothills are at higher elevations, receive higher amounts of precipitation, and have a typical-ustic moisture regime. These areas have significantly more rangeland production than the drier aridic-ustic portions of the MLRA and have enough moisture to produce crops annually rather than just bi-annually, as in the drier areas. Ecological sites in this higher precipitation area are classified as the moist grassland climatic zone.

Classification relationships

NRCS Soil Geography Hierarchy

- Land Resource Region: Northern Great Plains
- Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 052 Brown Glaciated Plains
- Climate Zone: N/A

National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units (Cleland et al., 1997; McNab et al., 2007)

- Domain: Dry
- Division: Temperate Steppe
- Province: Great Plains-Palouse Dry Steppe Province 331
- Section: Northwestern Glaciated Plains 331D
- Subsection: Montana Glaciated Plains 331Dh
- Landtype association/Landtype phase: N/A

National Vegetation Classification Standard (Federal Geographic Data Committee, 2008)

- Class: Mesomorphic Shrub and Herb Vegetation Class (2)
- Subclass: Shrub and Herb Wetland Subclass (2.C)
- Formation: Salt Marsh Formation (2.C.5)
- Division: *Distichlis spicata* - *Hordeum jubatum* Great Plains Saline Marsh Division (2.C.5.Na)
- Macrogroup: Great Plains Saline Wet Meadow and Marsh Macrogroup (2.C.5.Na.1)
- Group: *Distichlis spicata* - *Hordeum jubatum* - *Pascopyrum smithii* Great Plains Saline Wet Meadow and Marsh Group (2.C.5.Na.1.a)
- Alliance: *Distichlis spicata* - *Hordeum jubatum* Wet Meadow Alliance
- Association: *Distichlis spicata* - *Hordeum jubatum* - *Puccinellia nuttalliana* - *Suaeda calceoliformis* Wet Meadow

USFWS (Cowardin et al., 1979)

- Palustrine Emergent Temporarily Flooded and Palustrine Emergent Seasonally Flooded

Classification of natural ponds and lakes in the Glaciated Prairie Region (Stewart and Kantrud, 1971)

- Ephemeral Pond, Temporary Pond, and Seasonal Pond

Ecological site concept

This provisional ecological site occurs in all climatic zones of MLRA 52. Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of closed depression ecological sites based on current data. Current mapping does not consistently differentiate between discharge and recharge closed depressions, therefore this map will require future revision. Discharge Closed Depression is an extensive ecological site occurring throughout MLRA 52. It occurs in depressions on till plains and moraines. The ponding duration is typically temporary or seasonal, however, it is highly variable depending on catchment size and annual precipitation. The Discharge Closed Depression ecological site is typically saline.

The distinguishing characteristics of this ecological site are that it is located in closed depression landforms, receives groundwater discharge as well as surface runoff from adjacent uplands, and contains hydric soils. Soils for this ecological site are typically very deep (more than 60 inches) and are derived from alluvium. Soil textures in the

upper 4 inches are typically silt loam, clay loam, or clay. Soils are primarily endosaturated, have slow permeability rates, and exhibit ponding following runoff events (USDA-NRCS, 2016). Characteristic vegetation is western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*), inland saltgrass (*Distichlis spicata*), and foxtail barley (*Hordeum jubatum*).

Associated sites

| | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FX052X01X062 | Swale (Se) Dry Grassland The Swale ecological site is in upland coulees and swales. It is typically upslope from the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X03X062 | Swale (Se) Dry Shrubland The Swale ecological site is in upland coulees and swales. It is typically upslope from the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X02X062 | Swale (Se) Moist Grassland The Swale ecological site is in upland coulees and swales. It is typically upslope from the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X02X032 | Loamy (Lo) Moist Grassland The Loamy ecological site is in uplands surrounding the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X01X032 | Loamy (Lo) Dry Grassland The Loamy ecological site is in uplands surrounding the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X03X032 | Loamy (Lo) Dry Shrubland The Loamy ecological site is in uplands surrounding the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X02X040 | Loamy-Steep (Lostp) Moist Grassland The Loamy Steep ecological site is on slopes greater than 15 percent surrounding the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X01X040 | Loamy-Steep (Lostp) Dry Grassland The Loamy Steep ecological site is on slopes greater than 15 percent surrounding the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X03X040 | Loamy-Steep (Lostp) Dry Shrubland The Loamy Steep ecological site is on slopes greater than 15 percent surrounding the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site on till plains and moraines. It contributes surface water to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |
| FX052X99X071 | Recharge Closed Depression (Cdr) The Recharge Closed Depression ecological site is on similar landforms as the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. It typically is found in higher topographic positions and is the primary source of groundwater for the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. |

Similar sites

| | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FX052X99X084 | Slough (Sl) The Slough ecological site is on flood plains, usually in oxbows or channels where flooding is very frequent and a water table is shallow and persistent. Its hydroperiod is typically much longer than that of the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site. This site typically contains deep marsh vegetation. |
| FX052X99X060 | Overflow (Ov) The Overflow ecological site is on flood plains, usually on higher terraces that receive additional moisture from runoff and stream overflow. Vegetation is dominated by facultative upland species. |

| | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FX052X99X071 | Recharge Closed Depression (Cdr) The Recharge Closed Depression ecological site differs from the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site in that it receives its moisture primarily from surface runoff rather than groundwater discharge. Water and soils are typically non-saline and vegetation is more diverse. A wide variety of sedges, spikerushes, and grasses are present. |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Table 1. Dominant plant species

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| Tree | Not specified |
| Shrub | Not specified |
| Herbaceous | Not specified |

Legacy ID

R052XY705MT

Physiographic features

Discharge Closed Depression is an extensive ecological site occurring in depressions on moraines and till plains




Figure 1. Figure 1. General distribution of Closed Depression ecological sites by mapunit extent

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

| | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Landforms | (1) Till plain > Moraine > Closed depression (2) Till plain > Depression |
| Ponding duration | Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days) |
| Ponding frequency | Occasional to frequent |
| Elevation | 2,000–4,600 ft |
| Slope | 0–2% |
| Ponding depth | 0–12 in |
| Water table depth | 0–60 in |
| Aspect | Aspect is not a significant factor |

Climatic features

The Brown Glaciated Plains is a semi-arid region with a temperate continental climate that is characterized by frigid winters and warm to hot summers (Cooper et al., 2001). The average frost-free period for this ecological site is 115 days. The majority of precipitation occurs as steady, soaking, frontal system rains in late spring to early summer. Summer rainfall comes mainly from convection thunderstorms that typically deliver scattered amounts of rain in

intense bursts. These storms may be accompanied by damaging winds and large-diameter hail and result in flash flooding along low-order streams. Severe drought occurs on average in 2 out of every 10 years. Annual precipitation ranges from 10 to 17 inches, and 70 to 80 percent of this occurs during the growing season (Cooper et al., 2001). Extreme climatic variations, especially droughts, have the greatest influence on species cover and production (Coupland, 1958, 1961; Biondini et al., 1998).

During the winter months, the western half of MLRA 52 commonly experiences chinook winds, which are strong west to southwest surface winds accompanied by abrupt increases in temperature. The chinook winds are strongest on the western boundary of the MLRA near the Rocky Mountain foothills and decrease eastward. In addition to producing damaging winds, prolonged chinook episodes can result in drought or vegetation kills due to the reaction of plants to a “false spring” (Oard, 1993).

Table 3. Representative climatic features

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Frost-free period (average) | 115 days |
| Freeze-free period (average) | 140 days |
| Precipitation total (average) | 13 in |




Figure 2. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 3. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature

Climate stations used

- (1) GERALDINE [USC00243445], Geraldine, MT
- (2) CONRAD [USC00241974], Conrad, MT
- (3) TURNER 11N [USC00248415], Turner, MT
- (4) CONTENT 3 SSE [USC00241984], Zortman, MT
- (5) GOLDBUTTE 7 N [USC00243617], Sunburst, MT
- (6) SACO 1 NNW [USC00247265], Saco, MT
- (7) CARTER 14 W [USC00241525], Floweree, MT
- (8) CHESTER [USC00241692], Chester, MT
- (9) HARLEM [USC00243929], Harlem, MT
- (10) LOMA 1 WNW [USC00245153], Loma, MT

Influencing water features

This is a depressional wetland site that receives additional moisture via groundwater discharge as well as surface runoff. Hydrology is most similar to a discharge depressional hydrogeomorphic (HGM) model. Due to the semi-arid climate, groundwater tables are localized and are only present for a few months in the spring. During the spring, groundwater flows into the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site, typically from the Recharge Closed Depression ecological site. Surface runoff into the site also occurs during intense precipitation events. Water ponds on the surface for a brief time, then is lost by evapotranspiration. Typically, the ponding duration is 4 weeks or less, although some sites may pond water for 3 to 4 months. Ponding depth is typically 1 foot or less.

Soil features

The Discharge Closed Depression concept covers over 160,000 acres in MLRA 52. The soil that best represents the central concept for this ecological site is the McKenzie series. It is in the Endoaquerts great group and characterized by surface horizon that lacks enough organic matter to have a mollic epipedon. It is fine family, meaning it contains between 35 and 60 percent clay in the particle-size control section, and has smectitic mineralogy. The typical parent material is clayey alluvial deposits. This and all soils in this concept are endosaturated, meaning that they receive additional moisture from groundwater, and have hydric features. Ponding frequency varies from occasional to frequent and duration varies from brief to long depending on catchment size and annual precipitation. All soils in this concept have an aquic moisture regime, which means that the soils are saturated within 40 inches (100 cm) of the mineral soil surface for some time during the year. These soils have a frigid soil temperature regime (Soil Survey Staff, 2014).

Soil textures in the surface horizon on this site are typically clay, clay loam, silty clay or silty clay loam; and the underlying horizons are typically clay. Hydric features such as redox or gleying may be present in any horizon. In the surface 20 inches, electrical conductivity is typically 8 or less and the sodium absorption ratio is 13 or less. The surface horizon typically contains 3 to 6 percent organic matter and moist colors vary from olive gray (5Y 5/2) to dark gray (5Y 4/1). Calcium carbonate equivalent is typically 5 to 15 percent in the upper 5 inches of soil. Soil pH classes are slightly alkaline to strongly alkaline in the surface horizon and moderately alkaline or strongly alkaline in the subsurface horizons. The soil depth class for this site is typically very deep (more than 60 inches). Typically, the upper 20 inches of soil does not contain coarse fragments

Table 4. Representative soil features

| | |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parent material | (1) Alluvium |
| Surface texture | (1) Clay (2) Clay loam (3) Silty clay (4) Silty clay loam |
| Drainage class | Poorly drained to very poorly drained |
| Soil depth | 60–72 in |
| Available water capacity (0-40in) | 3.2–6 in |
| Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-5in) | 5–14% |
| Electrical conductivity (0-20in) | 0–8 mmhos/cm |
| Sodium adsorption ratio (0-20in) | 0–13 |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-40in) | 7.4–9 |

Ecological dynamics

The information in this ecological site description, including the state-and-transition model (STM) (Figure 2), was developed based on historical data, current field data, professional experience, and a review of the scientific

literature. As a result, all possible scenarios or plant species may not be included. Key indicator plant species, disturbances, and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

The Discharge Closed Depression provisional ecological site in MLRA 52 Dry Grassland consists of five vegetative states: The Reference State (1), the Invaded State (2), the Undrained Cropland State (3), the Impounded State (4) and the Drained Cropland State (5). Historically, plant communities associated with the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site evolved under the combined influences of climate, grazing, hydrology, and fire. Extreme climatic variability results in frequent droughts, which can have great influence on the relative contribution of species cover and production (Coupland, 1958, 1961; Biondini et al., 1998).

Hydrology is a crucial dynamic on this site. The site receives water from groundwater discharge from adjacent sites and surface runoff from spring snowmelt and from high intensity thunderstorms. The duration of ponding, or hydroperiod, dramatically influences the vegetation of the site. The hydroperiod varies depending by the catchment size and by annual precipitation patterns. The majority of sites in MLRA 52 contain water for only a few weeks in the spring. Larger catchment basins, above average precipitation cycles, or a combination of these factors may increase the hydroperiod to several months. Plant communities vary depending on the hydroperiod.

Native grazers also shaped these plant communities. Bison (*Bison bison*) were the dominant historic grazer, but pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*), elk (*Cervus canadensis*), and deer (*Odocoileus* spp.) were also common. Small mammals such as ground squirrels (*Urocitellus* spp.) also influenced this plant community (Salo et al. 2004). In addition, Grasshoppers and periodic outbreaks of Rocky Mountain locusts (*Melanoplus spretus*) may have played an important role in the ecology of these communities (Lockwood 2004).

The historic ecosystem also experienced relatively frequent lightning-caused fires, with estimated fire return intervals of 6 to 25 years (Bragg, 1995). Historically, Native Americans also set periodic fires. The majority of lightning-caused fires occurred in July and August, whereas Native Americans typically set fires during spring and fall to correspond with the movement of bison (Higgins, 1986). Generally, fires were less frequent on the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site than on adjacent drier sites, however, early reports indicate that fires did occur in wetlands (Higgins, 1986). The Discharge Closed Depression ecological site is resilient to fire and the historic fire return interval most likely had very little effect on the plant community except for removing excess litter accumulations. However, long term fire suppression in the 20th century removed periodic fire from the ecosystem altogether, which may result in an increase in litter accumulation. In some cases, this may provide ideal conditions for seed germination and seedling establishment of invasive species such as Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*).

Plant communities on the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site are very complex. Much of the dynamics of this site are still under investigation and are not fully understood. Frequently, sites contain multiple plant community zones that correspond to the hydroperiod for that portion of the site. In the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) the hydroperiod is only 7 to 10 days early in the spring and the site supports facultative upland species. The center of the depression is dominated by a wheatgrass plant community intermixed with scattered plants of foxtail barley. Periods of above average precipitation or depressions with a moderate catchment size will transition to the Temporarily Ponded Phase (1.2). In this phase the hydroperiod increases to 1.5 to 4 weeks and the site begins to support more hydrophytic vegetation. There are typically two distinct vegetation zones with a wheatgrass-foxtail barley plant community occupying the center of the depression. The rim of the depression transitions to wheatgrass plant community. The Seasonally Ponded Phase (1.3) occurs primarily in depressions that have large catchment basins, although it can occur in moderate-sized catchment basins during periods of above average precipitation. The hydroperiod for this phase is much longer, typically 1 to 4 months, and is sufficient for a significant amount of hydrophytic vegetation to establish. The center of the depression frequently supports a foxtail barley dominated plant community or an inland saltgrass-Nuttall's alkaligrass plant community, this is surrounded by a wheatgrass-foxtail barley plant community, and a rim of wheatgrass. Plant communities in all phases are often dynamic and diversity varies from site to site. Further study is needed to fully describe all major species and plant community dynamics.

Disturbances to the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site can have significant effects on hydrology and vegetation. Disturbances that directly affect the site include, but are not limited to, excavation, draining, impoundment, conversion to cropland, and grazing. The effects of improper grazing of this site have not been documented in detail, but improper grazing is known to cause a reduction in palatable forage species and an increase in unpalatable species such as curlycup gumweed (*Grindelia squarrosa*) and mountain rush (*Juncus arcticus* ssp. *littoralis*), also known as Baltic rush. Improper grazing practices include any practices that do not allow

sufficient opportunity for plants to physiologically recover from a grazing event or multiple grazing events within a given year and/or may not be providing adequate cover to prevent soil erosion over time. This may include, but is not limited to, overstocking, continuous grazing, and/or inadequate seasonal rotation moves over multiple years.

The most common disturbance on this site is most likely conversion to cropland. Smaller depressions, particularly those with ephemeral hydroperiods, are frequently farmed through with no further alteration. Seasonally ponded depressions are typically too wet to farm without artificial drainage. Typically, water is drained by ditching, then the site is converted to cropland. In these cases, the natural hydrology is severely altered and the site is unlikely to return to the Reference State (1) without significant restoration. Another common alteration of hydrology is impoundment of water for livestock or wildlife. Impoundment increases the hydroperiod and effectively converts the site from a temporarily or seasonally ponded wetland to a semi-permanent wetland.

Invasive species are a common concern on this ecological site. Non-native species such as curly dock (*Rumex crispus*) and salt lover (*Halogeton glomeratus*) commonly invade this site. Sites that are in the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) or the Temporarily Ponded Phase (1.2) are particularly prone to invasion by these species. Invasive species on seasonally ponded sites have not been studied, however invasive species such as saltcedar (*Tamarix ramosissima*) may be a concern in some areas. Noxious weeds such as Canada thistle and leafy spurge (*Euphorbia esula* L.) have also been documented on this site.

The state-and-transition model (STM) diagram and legend (Figure 2) suggests possible pathways that plant communities on this site may follow as a result of a given set of ecological processes and management. The site may also support vegetative states not displayed in the STM diagram. Landowners and land managers should seek guidance from local professionals before prescribing a particular management or treatment scenario. Plant community responses vary across this MLRA due to variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The Reference State (1) may not necessarily be the management goal. The lists of plant species and species composition values are provisional and are not intended to cover the full range of conditions, species, and responses for the site. Species composition by dry weight is provided when available and is considered provisional based on the sources identified in the narratives associated with each community phase.

State 1: Reference State

The Reference State (1) contains three community phases. Ecological dynamics of this state are still under investigation, therefore, this model may not cover the full range of conditions, species, and responses for the state. Seasonal ponding is a key dynamic on this site and varies depending on annual precipitation patterns and catchment size. Vegetation is characterized concentric rings, or zones, within the depression that correspond to the hydroperiod of that particular zone. The Ephemeral Phase (1.1) may only exhibit one vegetation zone dominated by facultative upland species. Other phases may exhibit two or more zones with the most hydrophytic vegetation in the center of the depression and subsequent, drier plant communities toward the edges. Diagnostic plant associations that occur on the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site are western wheatgrass, foxtail barley, western wheatgrass-foxtail barley, and inland saltgrass-Nuttall's alkaligrass (Crowe and Kudray, 2003). Plant communities can be diverse and may contain numerous other species in addition to the diagnostic species. Table 5 contains a list of species that have been observed in the Reference State (1).

Community Phase 1.1: Ephemeral Phase

The Ephemeral Phase (1.1) typically occurs in depressions with small catchment areas, but can also occur in depressions with moderate catchment areas during drought years. The hydroperiod in this phase is very short, typically 10 days or less. The western wheatgrass plant community is the only one present, frequently with scattered plants of foxtail barley intermixed.

Community Phase Pathway 1.1a

In small catchment areas, 2 to 3 years of above-average precipitation will transition the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) to the Temporarily Ponded Phase (1.2). Moderate-sized catchment areas will transition to this phase during average precipitation years.

Community Phase 1.2: Temporarily Ponded Phase

The Temporarily Ponded Phase (1.2) typically occurs in depressions with moderate-sized catchment areas during normal years, but may also occur in small catchment areas during wet cycles or large catchment areas during drought. In this phase the hydroperiod ranges from 1.5 to 4 weeks. Vegetation begins to exhibit zonation in this phase. At the center of the depression, ponding is longest and a wheatgrass-foxtail barley plant community

appears. A western wheatgrass plant community is usually present around the rim of the depression. A number of minor graminoid species such as American sloughgrass and Baltic rush (*Juncus arcticus* ssp. *littoralis*) may also be present.

Community Phase Pathway 1.2a

In moderate-sized catchment areas, drought will transition the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2) to the Ephemeral Phase (1.1). Small catchment areas will transition to this phase during average precipitation years.

Community Phase Pathway 1.2b

In moderate-sized catchment areas, 2 to 3 years of above-average precipitation will transition the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2) to the Seasonally Pondered Phase (1.3).

Community Phase 1.3: Seasonally Pondered Phase

The Seasonally Pondered Phase (1.3) typically occurs in depressions with large catchment areas, although it can occur in moderate-sized catchment areas during wet cycles. The hydroperiod in this phase is 1 to 4 months and the vegetation typically exhibits three or more zones. The wettest zone in this phase is frequently a foxtail barley-dominated plant community, but some areas may support an inland saltgrass-Nuttall's alkaligrass plant community. This transitions into a middle zone of wheatgrass-foxtail barley or wheatgrass-inland saltgrass. The rim of the depression in this phase is typically a western wheatgrass dominated plant community. A number of minor species such as common threesquare, Baltic rush, and native forbs may also be present.

Community Phase Pathway 1.3a

On large catchment areas, drought will transition the Seasonally Pondered Phase (1.3) to the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2). Moderate-sized catchment areas will transition to this phase during average-precipitation years.

Transition T1A

Tillage or application of herbicide and seeding of cultivated crops such as wheat, barley, or introduced hay transitions the Reference State (1) to the Undrained Cropland State (3). This transition occurs primarily in the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) or the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2).

Transition T1B

Artificial impoundment of water by damming or excavation transitions the Reference State (1) to the Impounded State (4).

Transition T1C

Introduction of invasive species transitions the Reference State (1) to the Invaded State (2).

Transition T1D

The combination of artificial drainage, tillage or herbicide application, and seeding of annual crops transitions the Reference State (1) to the Drained Cropland State (4). This transition occurs primarily in the Seasonally Pondered Phase (1.3).

State 2: Invaded State

The Invaded State (2) occurs when invasive plant species invade adjacent native plant communities. Introduced species such as curly dock and salt lover are the most common concerns. Effects of these species on site dynamics are not well documented at the time of this writing, but reduced plant species diversity, simplified structural complexity, and altered biologic processes are likely concerns.

Noxious weeds are not widespread on the Discharge Closed Depression ecological site, however, leafy spurge and Canada thistle have both been documented as a concern on this site (Cooper and Jones 2003; Crowe and Kudray 2003; Jones 2004). Saltcedar has not been documented on this site, but is present on nearby riverine sites. It could potentially expand into the Seasonally Pondered Phase (1.3) of this site (Vance et al., 2013). These species are very aggressive perennials that typically displace native species and dominate ecological function when they invade a site. Sometimes, these species can be suppressed through intensive management (herbicide, biological control, or intensive grazing management). Control efforts are unlikely to eliminate noxious weeds, but their density can be

sufficiently suppressed so that species composition and structural complexity are similar to that of the Reference State (1). However, cessation of control methods will most likely result in recolonization of the site by the noxious species.

Community Phase 2.1: Invaded Community Phase

The Invaded Community Phase (2.1) occurs when non-native species or noxious weeds invade the site. In the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) or the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2), curly dock and salt lover are the primary invasive species. Noxious weeds such as Canada thistle may also be a concern in some phases. Because invasive species have not been well documented in the Seasonally Pondered Phase (1.3), conclusive determinations are not available.

Transition T2A

Tillage or application of herbicide, and seeding of cultivated crops such as wheat or barley transitions the Invaded State (2) to the Undrained Cropland State (3). This transition occurs primarily in the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) or the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2).

Transition T2B

Artificial impoundment of water by damming or excavation transitions the Reference State (1) to the Impounded State (4).

State 3: Undrained Cropland State

The Undrained Cropland State (3) occurs when native vegetation is killed out, either by tillage or by herbicide application, and the site is seeded to annual crops. No other alterations are made to the natural hydrology or soils. This state typically only occurs in the Ephemeral Phase (1.1) and the Temporarily Pondered Phase (1.2). In this state the site is suitable for spring seeded crops such as spring wheat and barley, although seeding of crops may be delayed 2 to 3 weeks due to wet soil conditions. In wet years, this state may be too wet to farm and will transition to the Wet/Foxtail Barley Phase (3.2).

Community Phase 3.1: Annual Crops Phase

The Annual Crops Phase (3.1) occurs when land is put into cultivation. Major crops include spring wheat, barley, and peas.

Community Phase Pathway 3.1a

One or more years of above average precipitation transitions the Annual Crops Phase (3.1) to the Wet/Foxtail Barley Phase (3.2)

Community Phase 3.2: Wet/Foxtail Barley Phase

The Wet/Foxtail Barley Phase (3.2) occurs when precipitation is above average and the site is too wet to seed crop. Foxtail barley and annual weeds such as Kochia (*Bassia scoparia*) may colonize the site.

Community Phase Pathway 3.2a

Average or below-average precipitation transitions the Wet/Foxtail Barley Phase (3.2) to the Annual Crops Phase (3.1)

Transition T3A

Cessation of annual cropping combined with the introduction of invasive species transitions the site from the Undrained Cropland State (3) to the Invaded State (2). Non-native species such as curly dock and Canada thistle are common.

Restoration Pathway R3A

Cessation of annual cropping combined with the reestablishment of native species transitions the site from the Undrained Cropland State (3) to the Reference State (1). Specialized seeding techniques may be necessary, depending on site conditions, as well as intensive weed control to prevent invasion of non-native species, such as curly dock and Canada thistle. These restoration methods are labor intensive, costly and may not be a practical in all situations.

State 4: Impounded State

The Impounded State (4) occurs when water is artificially impounded on the site by damming or excavation. Water may be impounded for livestock water or for wildlife. Impoundment of water typically transitions the site to a semi-permanent wetland with open water, deep marsh, and shallow marsh vegetation zones. In many cases

impoundment creates a larger wetland with high quality habitat for wildlife. In other cases, such as when an excavated pond is constructed in the center of the depression, a small semi-permanent wetland is created in the center while the remainder of the depression is drained, thus reducing total wetland area. In either case the natural hydrology of the site is significantly altered, resulting in a new state with different plant communities and ecological dynamics.

Community Phase 4.1: Bulrush Phase

The Bulrush Phase (4.1) occurs when water is impounded and the site is semi-permanently ponded. The hydroperiod in this phase is typically 6 to 9 months. Vegetation zonation has reached its maximum. Typically the center of the site is open water, which is surrounded by a deep marsh zone dominated by hardstem bulrush (*Schoenoplectus acutus*), and common threesquare (*Schoenoplectus pungens*). The rim of the depression is characterized by a shallow marsh zone supporting foxtail barley or inland saltgrass-Nuttall's alkaligrass. A wet meadow or low prairie zone of western wheatgrass-foxtail barley may form at the outermost edges where ponding duration is shortest.

Restoration Pathway R4A

Restoration of natural hydrology and reestablishment of native species transitions the site from the Impounded State (4) to the Reference State (1). Specialized seeding techniques may be necessary, depending on site conditions, as well as intensive weed control to prevent invasion of non-native grasses and noxious weeds. Restoration of natural hydrology may require removal of dams or refilling of excavated pits. These restoration methods are labor intensive, very costly, and may be impractical, perhaps even detrimental, in some situations.

State 5: Drained Cropland State

The Drained Cropland State (5) occurs when the site is drained, tilled or sprayed, and seeded to annual crops. Surface water is typically drained by means of surface ditches, diversions, or both. Following drainage, remaining native vegetation is killed out either by tillage or herbicide application, then the site is seeded to annual crops. The hydrology of the site is significantly altered and no longer functions in its natural condition.

Community Phase 5.1: Drained Cropland Phase

The Drained Cropland Phase (5.1) occurs when land is drained and put into cultivation. Major crops include spring wheat, winter wheat, and barley.

Restoration Pathway R5A

Cessation of annual cropping combined with restoration of natural hydrology and reestablishment of native species transitions the site from the Drained Cropland State (5) to the Reference State (1). Specialized seeding techniques may be necessary, depending on site conditions, as well as intensive weed control to prevent invasion of non-native grasses and noxious weeds. Restoration of natural hydrology may require removal of diversions, plugging drainage ditches, or both. These restoration methods are labor intensive, very costly, and may be impractical in some situations.

State and transition model

Discharge Closed Depression
R52XY705MT




Figure 4. Figure 2. State-and-transition diagram

Recharge Closed Depression R52XY071MT

Legend

- 1.1a 2 to 3 consecutive years of above average precipitation
- 1.2a drought
- 1.2b 2 to 3 consecutive years of above average precipitation: larger catchment area
- 1.3a drought
- T1A, T2A tillage or herbicide application and seeding of annual crops
- T1B, T2B artificial impoundment of water
- T1D artificial drainage, tillage or herbicide application, and seeding of annual crops
- T1C introduction of invasive species
- 3.1a 1 more years of above average precipitation
- 3.2a average or below average precipitation
- T3A cessation of annual cropping combined with introduction of invasive species
- R3A cessation of annual cropping combined with reestablishment of native species
- R4A, R5A restoration of natural hydrology and reestablishment of native species (labor intensive and costly)

Figure 3. State-and-transition legend

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Growth Form | Duration |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| <i>Atriplex argentea</i> | silverscale saltbush | Forb | Annual/Biennial |
| <i>Beckmannia syzigachne</i> | American sloughgrass | Graminoid | Annual/Biennial |
| <i>Chenopodium</i> spp. | goosefoot | Forb | Annual/Biennial |
| <i>Distichlis spicata</i> | inland saltgrass | Graminoid | Perennial |
| <i>Hordeum jubatum</i> | foxtail barley | Graminoid | Perennial |
| <i>Juncus arcticus</i> ssp. <i>littoralis</i> | Baltic rush | Graminoid | Perennial |
| <i>Leptochloa fusca</i> ssp. <i>fascicularis</i> | bearded sprangletop | Graminoid | Annual/Biennial |
| <i>Muhlenbergia richardsonis</i> | mat muhly | Graminoid | Perennial |
| <i>Pascopyrum smithii</i> | western wheatgrass | Graminoid | Perennial |
| <i>Puccinellia nuttalliana</i> | Nuttall's alkaligrass | Graminoid | Perennial |
| <i>Rumex</i> spp. | dock | Forb | Perennial |
| <i>Schoenoplectus pungens</i> | common threesquare | Graminoid | Perennial |

Figure 5. Table 5. List of plant species observed in temporarily and seasonally flooded depressional wetlands (adapted from Jones [2004])

Inventory data references

Two medium-intensity field plots and one low-intensity field plot were available for this site. These plots, combined with a review of the scientific literature and professional experience was used to approximate the plant communities for this provisional ecological site. Information for the state-and-transition model (STM) was obtained from the same sources. All community phases are considered provisional based on these plots and the sources identified in the ecological site description.

Other references

Adams, B.W., et al. 2013. Rangeland plant communities for the dry mixedgrass natural subregion of Alberta.

Second approximation. Rangeland Management Branch, Policy Division, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, Lethbridge, Pub. No. T/040.

Anderson, R.C. 2006. Evolution and origin of the central grassland of North America: Climate, fire, and mammalian grazers. *Journal of Torrey Botanical Society* 133:626-647.

Biondini, M.E., and L. Manske. 1996. Grazing frequency and ecosystem processes in a northern mixed prairie, USA. *Ecological Applications* 6:239-256.

Biondini, M.E., B.D. Patton, and P.E. Nyren. 1998. Grazing intensity and ecosystem processes in a northern mixed-grass prairie, USA. *Ecological Applications* 8:469-479.

Bragg, T.B. 1995. The physical environment of the Great Plains grasslands. In: A. Joern and K.H. Keeler (eds.) *The Changing Prairie*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 49–81.

Branson, D.H., and G.A. Sword. 2010. An experimental analysis of grasshopper community responses to fire and livestock grazing in a northern mixed-grass prairie. *Environmental Entomology* 39:1441-1446.

Bylo, L.N., N. Koper, and K.A. Molloy. 2014. Grazing intensity influences ground squirrel and American badger habitat use in mixed-grass prairies. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 67:247-254.

Cleland, D.T., et al. 1997. National hierarchical framework of ecological units. In: M.S. Boyce and A. Haney (eds.) *Ecosystem Management Applications for Sustainable Forest and Wildlife Resources*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.

Cooper, S.V., C. Jean, and P. Hendricks. 2001. Biological survey of a prairie landscape in Montana's glaciated plains. Report to the Bureau of Land Management. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.

Cooper, S.V. and W.M. Jones. 2003. Site descriptions of high-quality wetlands derived from existing literature sources. Report to the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.

Coupland, R.T. 1950. Ecology of the mixed prairie of Canada. *Ecological Monographs* 20:271-315.

Coupland, R.T. 1958. The effects of fluctuations in weather upon the grasslands of the Great Plains. *Botanical Review* 24:273-317.

Coupland, R.T. 1961. A reconsideration of grassland classification in the Northern Great Plains of North America. *Journal of Ecology* 49:135-167.

Coupland, R.T., and R.E. Johnson. 1965. Rooting characteristics of native grassland species in Saskatchewan. *Journal of Ecology* 53:475-507.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. US Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS, 79(31), 131.

Crowe, E. and G. Kudray. 2003. Wetland Assessment of the Whitewater Watershed. Report to U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Malta Field Office. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.

Davis, S.K., R.J. Fisher, S.L. Skinner, T.L. Shaffer, and R.M. Brigham. 2013. Songbird abundance in native and planted grassland varies with type and amount of grassland in the surrounding landscape. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 77:908-919.

DeKeyser, E.S., M. Meehan, G. Clambey, and K. Krabbenhoft. 2013. Cool season invasive grasses in northern Great Plains natural areas. *Natural Areas Journal* 33:81-90.

DeKeyser, S., G. Clambey, K. Krabbenhoft, and J. Ostendorf. 2009. Are changes in species composition on central North Dakota rangelands due to non-use management? *Rangelands* 31:16-19.

- Derner, J.D., and R.H. Hart. 2007. Grazing-induced modifications to peak standing crop in northern mixed-grass prairie. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 60:270-276.
- Derner, J.D., and A.J. Whitman. 2009. Plant interspaces resulting from contrasting grazing management in northern mixed-grass prairie: Implications for ecosystem function. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 62:83-88.
- Derner, J.D., W.K. Lauenroth, P. Stapp, and D.J. Augustine. 2009. Livestock as ecosystem engineers for grassland bird habitat in the western Great Plains of North America. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 62:111-118.
- Dix, R.L. 1960. The effects of burning on the mulch structure and species composition of grasslands in western North Dakota. *Ecology* 41:49-56.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2008. The national vegetation classification standard, version 2. FGDC Vegetation Subcommittee, FGDC-STD-005-2008 (Version 2), p. 126.
- Fullerton, D.S., and R.B. Colton. 1986. Stratigraphy and correlation of the glacial deposits on the Montana Plains. U.S. Geological Survey.
- Fullerton, D.S., R.B. Colton, C.A. Bush, and A.W. Straub. 2004. Map showing spatial and temporal relations of mountain and continental glaciations on the northern plains, primarily in northern Montana and northwestern North Dakota. U.S. Geologic Survey pamphlet accompanying Scientific Investigations Map 2843.
- Fullerton, D.S., R.B. Colton, and C.A. Bush. 2013. Quaternary geologic map of the Shelby 1° x 2° quadrangle, Montana: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2012-1170, scale 1:250,000.
- Galatowitsch, S.M. and A.G. Van der Valk. 1996. The vegetation of restored and natural prairie wetlands. *Ecological Applications*. 6:1 pp.102-112.
- Gilbert, M.C., P.M. Whited, E.J. Clairain Jr., and R.D. Smith. 2006. A regional guidebook for applying the hydrogeomorphic approach to assessing wetland functions of prairie potholes. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Final Report, Washington, DC.
- Grant, T.A., B. Flanders-Wanner, T.L. Shaffer, R.K. Murphy, and G.A. Knutsen. 2009. An emerging crisis across northern prairie refuges: Prevalence of invasive plants and a plan for adaptive management. *Ecological Restoration* 27:58-65.
- Hansen, P.L., et al. 1995. Classification and management of Montana's riparian and wetland sites. University of Montana, Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, Miscellaneous Publication No. 54.
- Heidel, B., S.V. Cooper, and C. Jean. 2000. Plant species of special concern and plant associations of Sheridan County, Montana. Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.
- Heitschmidt, R.K., and L.T. Vermeire. 2005. An ecological and economic risk avoidance drought management decision support system. In: J.A. Milne (ed.) *Pastoral Systems in Marginal Environments*, XXth International Grasslands Congress, July 2005, p. 178.
- Henderson, A.E., and S.K. Davis. 2014. Rangeland health assessment: A useful tool for linking range management and grassland bird conservation? *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 67:88-98.
- Herrick, J.E., J.W. Van Zee, K.M. Havstad, L.M. Burkett, and W.G. Whitford. 2009. Monitoring manual for grassland, shrubland and savanna ecosystems. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Jornada Experimental Range, Las Cruces, NM.
- Higgins, K.F. 1986. Interpretation and compendium of historical fire accounts in the Northern Great Plains. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Resource Publication 161.
- Joern, A. 2005. Disturbance by fire frequency and bison grazing modulate grasshopper assemblages in tallgrass prairie. *Ecology* 86:861-873.

- Jones, W.M. 2004. Using vegetation to assess wetland condition: a multimetric approach for temporarily and seasonally flooded depressional wetlands and herbaceous-dominated intermittent and ephemeral riverine wetlands in the northwestern glaciated plains ecoregion, Montana. Report to the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.
- Knopf, F.L. 1996. Prairie legacies—birds. In: F.B. Samson and F.L. Knopf (eds.) *Prairie Conservation: Preserving North America's Most Endangered Ecosystem*, Island Press, Washington, DC, pp. 135-148.
- Knopf, F.L., and F.B. Samson. 1997. Conservation of grassland vertebrates. In: F.B. Samson and F.L. Knopf (eds.) *Ecology and Conservation of Great Plains Vertebrates: Ecological Studies 125*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, pp. 273-289.
- Laycock, W.A. 1988. History of grassland plowing and grass planting on the Great Plains. In: J.E. Mitchell (ed.) *Impacts of the Conservation Reserve Program in the Great Plains—Symposium Proceedings, September 16-18, 1987*. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report RM-158.
- Laycock, W.A. 1991. Stable states and thresholds of range condition on North American rangelands. *Journal of Range Management* 44:427-433.
- Lesica, P. and P. Husby. 2006. *Field Guide to Montana's Wetland Vascular Plants*. Montana Wetlands Trust. Helena.
- Lockwood, J.A. 2004. *Locust: The devastating rise and mysterious disappearance of the insect that shaped the American frontier*. Basic Books, New York, NY.
- Madden, E.M., R.K. Murphy, A.J. Hansen, and L. Murray. 2000. Models for guiding management of prairie bird habitat in northwestern North Dakota. *American Midland Naturalist* 144:377-392.
- McIntyre, C., K. Newlon, L. Vance, and M. Burns. 2011. *Milk, Marias, and St. Mary monitoring: developing a long-term rotating basin wetland assessment and monitoring strategy for Montana*. Report to the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.
- McNab, W.H., et al. 2007. *Description of ecological subregions: Sections of the conterminous United States [CD-ROM]*. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76B.
- Montana State College Agricultural Experiment Station. 1949. *Similar vegetative rangeland types in Montana*.
- Mushet, D.M., N.H. Euliss, Jr., and C.A. Stockwell. 2012. A conceptual model to facilitate amphibian conservation in the Northern Great Plains. *Great Plains Research* 22:45-58.
- Nesser, J.A., G.L. Ford, C.L. Maynard, and D.S. Page-Dumroese. 1997. *Ecological units of the Northern Region: Subsections*. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, General Technical Report INT-GTR-369.
- Oard, M.J. 1993. A method of predicting chinook winds east of the Montana Rockies. 1993. *Weather and Forecasting* 8:166-180.
- Roath, L.R. 1988. Implications of land conversions and management for the future. In: J.E. Mitchell (ed.) *Impacts of the Conservation Reserve Program in the Great Plains—Symposium Proceedings, September 16-18, 1987*. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report RM-158.
- Rowe, J.S. 1969. Lightning fires in Saskatchewan grassland. *Canadian Field Naturalist* 83:317-327.
- Salo, E.D., et al. 2004. Grazing intensity effects on vegetation, livestock and non-game birds in North Dakota mixed-grass prairie. *Proceedings of the 19th North American Prairie Conference*, Madison, WI.
- Semlitsch, R.D. 2000. Principles for management of aquatic-breeding amphibians. *Journal of Wildlife Management*

Shay, J., D. Kunec, and B. Dyck. 2001. Short-term effects of fire frequency on vegetation composition and biomass in mixed prairie in south-western Manitoba. *Plant Ecology* 155:157-167.

Smith, B., and G.J. McDermid. 2014. Examination of fire-related succession within the dry mixed-grass subregion of Alberta with the use of MODIS and Landsat. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* 67:307-317.

Smith, R.E. 2013. Conserving Montana's sagebrush highway: Long distance migration in sage-grouse. M.S. thesis, University of Montana, Missoula.

Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to soil taxonomy, 12th edition. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Soller, D.R. 2001. Map showing the thickness and character of Quaternary sediments in the glaciated United States east of the Rocky Mountains. U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series I-1970-E, scale 1:3,500,000.

Stephens, S.E., J.J. Rotella, M.S. Lindberg, M.L. Taper, and J.K. Ringelman. 2005. Duck nest survival in the Missouri Coteau of North Dakota: Landscape effects at multiple spatial scales. *Ecological Applications* 15:2137-2149.

Stewart, R.E., and H.A. Kantrud. 1971. Classification of natural ponds and lakes in the glaciated prairie region. No. 92. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

Toledo, D., M. Sanderson, K. Spaeth, J. Hendrickson, and J. Printz. 2014. Extent of Kentucky bluegrass and its effect on native plant species diversity and ecosystem services in the Northern Great Plains of the United States. *Invasive Plant Science and Management* 7:543-552.

Umbanhowar, Jr., C.E. 2004. Interactions of climate and fire at two sites in the Northern Great Plains. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, and Palaeoecology* 208:141-152.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Glossary of landform and geologic terms. National Soil Survey Handbook, Title 430-VI, Part 629.02c.
http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242 (Accessed 13 April 2016).

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2013. Greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*) conservation objectives: Final report.

Vance, L., S. Owen, and J. Horton. 2013. Literature review: Hydrology-ecology relationships in Montana prairie wetlands and intermittent/ephemeral streams. Report to the Cadmus Group and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Montana Natural Heritage Program, Helena.

Vuke, S.M., K.W. Porter, J.D. Lonn, and D.A. Lopez. 2007. Geologic Map of Montana - information booklet: Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Geologic Map 62-D.

Wilson, S.D., and J.M. Shay. 1990. Competition, fire, and nutrients in a mixed-grass prairie. *Ecology* 71:1959-1967.

With, K.A. 2010. McCown's longspur (*Rhynchophanes mccownii*). In: A. Poole (ed.) *The Birds of North America* (online), Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca. <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/09>.

Contributors

Scott Brady
Stuart Veith

Approval

Scott Brady, 7/01/2019

Acknowledgments

This provisional ecological site description could not have been completed without the contributions of Karen Newlon. She conducted an extensive literature review, which provided most of the background information for this project as well as many of the references. She also co-authored the Loamy and Thin Claypan Dry Grassland ecological sites previously prepared in MLRA 52.

A number of USDA-NRCS and USDI-BLM staff supported this project. Staff contributions are as follows:

Soil Concepts, Soils Information, and Field Descriptions

Charlie French, USDA-NRCS

Josh Sorlie, USDI-BLM

NASIS Reports, Data Dumps, and Soil Sorts

Bill Drummond, USDA-NRCS

Pete Weikle, USDA-NRCS

Peer Review and Beta Testing

Kirt Walstad, USDA-NRCS

Kyle Steele, formerly USDA-NRCS

Kelsey Molloy, USDA-NRCS

Rick Caquelin, USDA-NRCS

Josh Sorlie, USDI-BLM

BJ Rhodes, USDI-BLM

Editing

Ann Kinney, USDA-NRCS

Jenny Sutherland, USDA-NRCS

Quality Control

Jon Siddoway, USDA-NRCS

Quality Assurance

Stacey Clark, USDA-NRCS

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Author(s)/participant(s) | |
| Contact for lead author | |
| Date | |
| Approved by | |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

-
2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**
-
3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**
-
4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**
-
5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**
-
6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**
-
7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**
-
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**
-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**
-
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**
-
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**
-
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant:
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional:
-
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or**

decadence):

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-