

Ecological site R052XN164MT Clayey-Steep (CyStp) 10-14" p.z.

Last updated: 1/24/2024 Accessed: 05/12/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Siddoway/Bandy
Contact for lead author	Great Falls Area Office, Great Falls, MT
	Reference site used? No
Date	04/19/2005
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1.	Number and extent of rills: Slopes most common on this site are between 15–45% and with at least 90% of the soil
	surface well-covered, rills, if evident will be rare, but may occur in bare areas after extreme convection storms - rills in
	this case would be narrow and less than 5 feet in length.

- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Will be rare, generally, on this site, but with the steeper slopes, and up to 10% bare ground, there may be areas which show accumulations of litter due to water movement, especially after severe storms.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Wind and water erosion will be rare on this site, but with the steeper slopes there may be rare plants that could have pedestals which could be 0.5 inch in height.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground should be 10% or less on this site.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gully erosion will not be evident on this site.

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Because there is little bare ground litter movement will be minimal at most. Because the site is dominated by the taller bunchgrasses, litter size will reflet the height and diameter of the reproductive culms and leaves of these grasses as well as the lesser dominate mid-size grasses.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range values): Resistance to erosion will be high with soil stability values of 5 or 6; areas of bare soil on this site may have values between 1 and 4 if not under plant canopy.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil surface structure is granular; A horizon depth is $1-3$ ".
10	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial
10.	distribution on infiltration and runoff: Dominance of taller, deep rooted bunchgrasses will maximize infiltration and minimize runoff throughout the site.
	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present generally, but there may be areas that have "healed" from the site of the
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present generally, but there may be areas that have "healed" fr former bison trails and wallows as well as more current livestock trails which could have a compaction layer below the soil surface.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present generally, but there may be areas that have "healed" fromer bison trails and wallows as well as more current livestock trails which could have a compaction layer below the soil surface. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present generally, but there may be areas that have "healed" fr former bison trails and wallows as well as more current livestock trails which could have a compaction layer below the soil surface. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production of foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present generally, but there may be areas that have "healed" fr former bison trails and wallows as well as more current livestock trails which could have a compaction layer below the soil surface. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production of foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): Dominant:
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present generally, but there may be areas that have "healed" fr former bison trails and wallows as well as more current livestock trails which could have a compaction layer below the soil surface. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production of foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to): Dominant: Sub-dominant:

- 15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 850 1450 #/acre. This would be the expected production for the reference state during adequate moisture years. 1200 pounds would be the expected production in a 12 inch precipitation zone.
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Blue grama, Red threeawn, Japanese brome, a variety of annual or biennial weedy forbs, fringed sagewort, broom snakeweed, prickly pear cactus, cheatgrass.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** During adequate moisture years bunchgrasses will generally produce seeds, however the cool season rhizomatous grasses may not necessarily produce seed even with adequate moisture.