

Ecological site R058AE191MT Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) RRU 58A-E 10-14" p.z.

Accessed: 05/12/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	T. DeCock; R Kilian
Contact for lead author	Tammy DeCock
Date	06/11/2014
Approved by	Jon Siddoway
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.

Indicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: None.	
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: None on slopes less than 25%. On slopes 25 – 40% water flow patterns may be 2-3 feet long and 4 inches wide.	
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Not evident on slopes < 20%. On slopes greater than 20% erosional pedestals up to .5 inches high may be present with terracettes present at debris dams.	
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground is < 50%. Bare ground will occur as small areas less than 5 inches in diameter.	
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present. Existing gullies should be "healed" with a good vegetative cover.	

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Plant litter remains in place and is not moved by erosional forces on slopes less than 25%. Herbaceous litter may move up to 4 inches on slopes > 25%.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Surface Soil Aggregate Stability under plant canopy should typically be 5. Surface Soil Aggregate Stability not under plant canopy should typically be 4 slightly less.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Use soil survey series description.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: A combination of shallow and deep rooted species have a positive effect on infiltration rate.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer or soil surface crusting should be evident.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Cool season, mid-stature, bunch grasses
	Sub-dominant: Warm season, mid-stature, bunch grasses > forbs > Cool season, mid-stature, rhizomatous grasses = Cool season, short-stature, bunch grasses and sedges
	Other: Minor components: Warm season, mid-stature, rhizomatous grasses > Warm season, short-stature, rhizomatous grasses = Warm season, tall stature, rhizomatous grasses = Cool season, short-stature, rhizomatous grasses and sedges = shrubs and half shrubs
	Additional: (Blue grama should be grouped with warm season, short-stature, rhizomatous grasses due to its growth form)
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very low.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter cover is in contact with soil surface.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 1050 to 1200 #/acre (13 to 14 inch precip. Zone) 450 to 900 #/ac (10 to 12 inch precip. Zone).
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if

	their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not
	invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state
	for the ecological site: Knapweeds, whitetop, Dalmatian toadflax, St. Johnswort, perennial pepperweed.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing.