

Ecological site R069XY058CO Limestone Breaks

Last updated: 12/09/2024 Accessed: 05/10/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Ben Berlinger, Daniel Nosal, Kimberly Diller
Contact for lead author	Ben Berlinger, Area Rangeland Management Specialist, La Junta, CO,
Date	01/12/2005
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

no	ndicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: None on gentle slopes, slight on steeper slopes.		
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: None to minimal on gentle slopes (< 15 percent). If present, water flow paths should be broken, irregular in appearance with numerous vegetative barriers. As slope steepness increases, flow paths become more apparent and may be connected.		
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None to slight on gentle slopes. Expect some evidence of pedestalled plants when slopes exceed 15 percent.		
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 5-10 percent or less bare ground, with bare patches generally less than 3-5 inches. Extended drought may increase bare ground to 10-15 percent.		

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None to slight. Steep areas of exposed soil may have small amounts of wind scouring. Wind erosion can occur with disturbances such as wildfire or extended drought.

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter movement is associated with water flow patterns and may move as much as 2-5 feet down slope during severe precipitation events, especially on steeper slopes.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Stability class rating is anticipated to be 3-4 in interspaces at soil surface. These values need verification.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Average SOM ranges from 1-2 percent. Soils are dominantly very shallow to shallow. A-horizon color is grayish-brown with a fine and medium crumb structure. The surface texture is channery loam with areas of exposed limestone bedrock inherent to the site.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Raindrop impact is reduced by the diverse grass, forb, shrub functional/structural groups and root structure. This slows overland flow and provides increased time for infiltration to occur. Extended drought, wildfire or both may reduce basal density, canopy cover, and litter amounts (primarily from tall, warm-season bunch and rhizomatous grasses), resulting in decreased infiltration and increased runoff on steep slopes following intense rainfall events.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Warm-season mid bunchgrass >
	Dominant: Warm-season mid bunchgrass > Sub-dominant: Cool-season mid bunchgrass > shrubs = warm-season short bunchgrass > warm-season mid sod- formers > leguminous forbs = other forbs >
	Sub-dominant: Cool-season mid bunchgrass > shrubs = warm-season short bunchgrass > warm-season mid sod-
	Sub-dominant: Cool-season mid bunchgrass > shrubs = warm-season short bunchgrass > warm-season mid sod-formers > leguminous forbs = other forbs >
13.	Sub-dominant: Cool-season mid bunchgrass > shrubs = warm-season short bunchgrass > warm-season mid sod- formers > leguminous forbs = other forbs > Other: cool-season mid rhizomatous grass = warm-season tall bunchgrass > sedges > short trees

15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-
	production): 350 lbs./ac. low precipitation years; 600 lbs./ac. average precipitation years; 900 lbs./ac. high precipitation
	years. After extended drought or the first growing season following wildfire, production may be significantly reduced by
	150-300 lbs./ac.

- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Invasive plants should not occur in the reference plant community. Cheatgrass, Russian thistle, burningbush, and other non-native annuals may invade following extended drought or fire assuming a seed source is available.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** The only limitations are weather-related, wildfire, natural disease, and insects that may temporarily reduce reproductive capability.