

Ecological site R078AY125TX Shallow 25-28" PZ

Last updated: 9/15/2023 Accessed: 05/12/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Charles Anderson, RMS, NRCS, San Angelo, Texas			
Contact for lead author	325-944-0147			
Date	08/11/2004			
Approved by	Bryan Christensen			
Approval date				
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production			

nc	ndicators				
1.	Number and extent of rills: None.				
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Some water flow patterns are expected.				
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None.				
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 0 to 5 percent bare ground. Small and non-connected areas.				
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None.				
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.				
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Minimal and short.				

8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):					
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Dark brown (10 YR 4/3) clay loam, weak, medium, subangular blocky structure, hard, firm, few caliche fragments and few rounded quartzitic pebbles, calcareous, moderately alkaline. Soil Organic Matter is 1 to 4 percent.					
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: High canopy, basal cover and density with small interspaces should make rainfall impact negligible. The stones in the profile capture moisture and enter through soil profile. This site has well drained soils, shallow with 0 to 5 percent slopes which are less susceptible to high runoff and erosion rates.					
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.					
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):					
	Dominant:					
	Sub-dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses > Warm-season midgrasses > Trees > Shrubs/Vines > Forbs >					
	Other: Cool-season midgrasses					
	Additional: Forbs make up 10 percent of species composition, shrubs and trees compose up to 20 percent species composition.					
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Grasses will almost always show some mortality and decadence.					
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is primarily herbaceous.					
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 1200 to 2500 pounds per acre.					
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Ashe juniper and Mesquite are the primary invaders.					

Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproduction except during periods of prolonged drought conditions, heavy natural herbivory or intense wildfires.						