

Ecological site R081BY337TX Low Stony Hill 23-31 PZ

Last updated: 9/19/2023 Accessed: 05/11/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Joe Franklin, Zone RMS, NRCS, San Angelo, TX
Contact for lead author	325-944-0147
Date	06/09/2005
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators		
1.	Number and extent of rills: None.	
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are common and follow old stream meanders. Deposition or erosion is uncommon for normal rainfall events but may occur during intense rainfall events.	
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals or terracettes would have been uncommon for the site when occupied by the reference plant community.	
4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 0 to 5 percent bare ground. Small and non-connected areas.	
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None.	
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.	

7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Under normal rainfall, little litter movement should be minimal and short; however, litter of all sizes may move long distances.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil surface under reference conditions are resistant to erosion. Stability class range is expected to be 5 to 6.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil is dark brown flaggy silty clay loam with surface depth up to six inches with sub-rounded to angular pebbles, cobbles, and stones. The soil has a strong fine granular structure. SOM is one to four percent.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: High canopy, basal cover and density with small interspaces should make rainfall impact negligible. The stones in the profile capture moisture and enter through soil profile. This site has well drained soils, very shallow to shallow with one to five percent slopes which may allow noticeable runoff and erosion.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No evidence of compaction.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses
	Sub-dominant: Warm-season midgrasses Forbs
	Other: Cool-season midgrasses Trees Warm-season shortgrasses Shrubs
	Additional: Forbs make up 10 percent of species composition, shrubs and trees compose up to 12 percent species composition.
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): There should be little mortality or decadence for any functional groups in the reference community.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is primarily herbaceous.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 1,500 pounds per acre for below average moisture years, 3,000 pounds per acre for average moisture years and 4,500 pounds per acre for above average moisture years.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if

their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that		
become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not		
invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state		
for the ecological site: Ashe juniper, mesquite, prickly pear, bermudagrass, johnsongrass, and King Ranch bluestem.		

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All perennial plants should be capable of reproducing, except during periods of prolonged drought conditions, natural herbivory, and/or wildfires.