

Ecological site R108XD862IA Sandy Upland Prairie

Last updated: 10/17/2024
Accessed: 05/10/2025

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 108X—Illinois and Iowa Deep Loess and Drift

The Illinois and Iowa Deep Loess and Drift, Western Part MLRA covers parts of both Iowa and Missouri and is known locally as part of the Southern Iowa Drift Plain. A silty loess deposit of varying thickness (5 to 20 feet) covers a series of glacial advances known collectively as pre-Illinoian till. This till, deposited more than half a million years ago, was subjected to multiple instances of extreme erosion as well as periods of subdued erosion and intense weathering. The loess is thickest in the western part of the MLRA and generally thins eastward. In some areas, the loess has been removed and the older weathered till, called a “paleosol,” entirely exposed. These highly weathered soils, or paleosols, have a high content of clay, which slows the downward movement of water through the profile and causes water to move laterally instead of vertically. Wet areas, or “side-hill seeps,” commonly form where these paleosols become exposed along hillsides (Prior, 1991).

The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Mollisols and Alfisols and, to a lesser extent, Entisols and Inceptisols. Most of the soils are Udolls or Udalfs. Aquolls are on the flatter interfluvies. The soils in the area dominantly have a mesic soil temperature regime, an aquic or udic soil moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. They generally are very deep, well drained to poorly drained, and silty, loamy, or clayey. These soils on uplands include somewhat poorly drained, nearly level Argiudolls (Macksburg series); moderately well drained, gently sloping to strongly sloping Argiudolls (Sharpsburg series); poorly drained, nearly level Argiaquolls (Winterset series); and well drained strongly, sloping to steep Hapludalfs (Gara, Lindley, Ladoga, and Armstrong series) (USDA-NRCS, 2006). The western part of the Illinois and Iowa Deep Loess and Drift is a segment of three other MLRAs within the Central Feed Grains and Livestock Region. The other areas are: the West-Central part (108C), the East-Central part (108B) and the Eastern part (108A).

Classification relationships

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): Illinois and Iowa Deep Loess and Drift, Western Part (108D)

USFS Subregions: Central Dissected Till Plains Section (251C); Loess Hills (251Cb) and Central Dissected Till and Loess Plain (251Cc) Subsections (Cleland et al. 2007)

Relationship to Other Established Classifications:

NatureServe Classification: Ecological System: North-Central Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland (4116); Ecological Association: Midwest Dry Sand Prairie (NatureServe, 2013)

Landfire Biophysical Setting: Central Tallgrass Prairie (4314210) (Landfire, 2009)

Ecological site concept

Sandy Upland Prairies are within the red areas on the map (Figure 1). These sites formed sandy eolian parent material and can be found on shoulders a backslopes on upland hillslopes. Typically these sites are found near streams intermingled with loess upland prairie sites. Soils are typically Mollisols, characterized by deep, dark colored surfaces high in organic matter due to the dominant prairie vegetation and have no rooting restrictions. Plant communities consist of mostly grasses and few forbs and shrubs.

Associated sites

R108XD863IA	Till Upland Prairie Till Upland Prairie. Fine and fine-loamy soils, including Adair, Armstrong, Bucknell, Gara, Lamoni and Shelby.
R108XD860IA	Loess Upland Prairie Loess Upland Praire. Fine and fine-loamy soils, including Arthur, Givin, Hedrick, Ladoga, Lineville, Macksburg, Nira, Northboro, Pershing and Sharpsburg.

Similar sites

R108XD860IA	Loess Upland Prairie Loess Upland Praire. Fine and fine-loamy soils, including Arthur, Givin, Hedrick, Ladoga, Lineville, Macksburg, Nira, Northboro, Pershing and Sharpsburg.
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i> (2) <i>Danthonia spicata</i>

Physiographic features

Sandy Upland Prairies are of small extent, and can be found on hillslopes in uplands near streams in the central MLRA 108D. Slopes are generally less than 14 percent. These sites typically occur at shoulders and backslopes where wind has reworked nearby alluvial sand deposits.




Figure 2. Distribution of Sandy Upland Prairies within MLRA 108D

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Hillslope
Runoff class	Negligible to medium

Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Slope	5–14%
Water table depth	60 in
Aspect	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW

Climatic features

The soil temperature regime of MLRA 108D is classified as “mesic” where the mean annual soil temperature is between 46 and 59°F (Soil Survey Staff, 2014). The average freeze-free period of this ecological site is about 166 days, while the frost-free period is about 144 days.

Average annual precipitation is 31 inches, which includes rainfall plus the water equivalent from snowfall.. The average annual low and high temperatures are 38 and 60°F, respectively.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	137-142 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	158-172 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	35-37 in
Frost-free period (actual range)	129-145 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	149-173 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	35-37 in
Frost-free period (average)	138 days
Freeze-free period (average)	163 days
Precipitation total (average)	36 in

Climate stations used

- (1) CORNING [USC00131833], Corning, IA
- (2) CRESTON 2 SW [USC00131962], Creston, IA
- (3) GREENFIELD [USC00133438], Greenfield, IA
- (4) BEDFORD [USC00130576], Bedford, IA
- (5) GUTHRIE CTR [USC00133509], Guthrie Center, IA

Influencing water features

This ecological site is not influenced by wetland or riparian water features. The soils are well-drained. Permeability is moderately rapid to very rapid. The site is in hydrologic group A (Hydrologic Soil Group, 2016). Land capability class is typically either 3e or 4e (Land Capability Classification, 2016). The water source is direct precipitation because there are no upslope contributing sites. Depth of endosaturation is greater than 5 feet.

Soil features

These soils have no major rooting restriction. The soils were formed under prairie vegetation, and have dark, organic-rich surface horizons. Parent material is eolian sands. The soils have fine sandy loam and sandy loam surface horizons. Subsoils are sandy loam, loamy sand or sand. Soil series associated with this site include Dickinson and Dickman.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Eolian sands
-----------------	------------------

Surface texture	(1) Fine sandy loam (2) Loamy sand
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid to very rapid
Soil depth	80 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0–2%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	4.5–5.1 in
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0%
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	5.8–6.5
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

Ecological dynamics

The reference plant community is categorized as a dry upland sand prairie and includes grasses, forbs, and shrubs. Species composition is typically *Schizachyrium scoparium*, *Asclepias verticillata*, *Euphorbia corollata*, *Liatris aspera*, *Lithospermum canescens*, and *Solidago nemoralis*.

Fire, grazing, and drought are all disturbances influencing the dynamics at this site.


These sites likely burned 3 to 5 times every 10 years.

Grazing by whitetail deer and prairie elk was common, and bison may have also grazed to a lesser extent. Disturbances from these animals removed thatch and litter and reduced the proliferation of small trees and shrubs.

As this region was settled, these prairies were typically altered to better suit agricultural needs. Corn and soybean production is common in these areas today. Very few small remnants of this prairie exist now.

State and transition model

R108DY862IA Sandy Upland Prairie



State 1
Reference State

As a dry upland sand prairie, this state has a reference plant community which is categorized as upland prairie and includes grasses, forbs, and shrubs. Species composition is typically *Schizachyrium scoparium*, *Asclepias verticillata*, *Euphorbia corollata*, *Liatris aspera*, *Lithospermum canescens*, *Solidago nemoralis*, *Danthonia spicata*, *Carex pensylvanica*, *Viola pedata*, *Koeleria macrantha*, *Hesperostipa spartea*, *Bouteloua hirsuta*, *Ambrosia psilostachya*, *Pseudognaphalium obtusifolium*, *Packera plattensis*, and *Tephrosia virginiana*. Extended periods with no fire and no grazing can cause this state to shift into a shrub-prairie (Figure 3). Shrubs include multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), smooth sumac (*Rhus glabra*), roughleaf dogwood (*Cornus drummondii*), poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*), *Rubus allegheniensis*, and *Vitis riparia*. Conversely, grazing and browsing accompanied by frequent or high-intensity fires can ultimately shift this phase back towards the reference community (Mutel, 2008).

Dominant plant species

- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass
- whorled milkweed (*Asclepias verticillata*), grass
- flowering spurge (*Euphorbia corollata*), other herbaceous
- tall blazing star (*Liatris aspera*), other herbaceous
- hoary puccoon (*Lithospermum canescens*), other herbaceous
- gray goldenrod (*Solidago nemoralis*), other herbaceous

Community 1.1

Dry Sand Prairie

Little bluestem- Pennsylvania sedge prairie

Dominant plant species

- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass
- Pennsylvania sedge (*Carex pensylvanica*), grass

Community 1.2

Shrub Prairie

Site invaded by shrub species.

Dominant plant species

- dogwood (*Cornus*), shrub
- sumac (*Rhus*), shrub
- elm (*Ulmus*), shrub
- eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana*), shrub
- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass
- Pennsylvania sedge (*Carex pensylvanica*), grass

Pathway P1.1A

Community 1.1 to 1.2

Lack of fire 8 plus years, no grazing

Pathway P1.2A

Community 1.2 to 1.1

Grazing/browsing, frequent or higher intensity fires three years interval or less.

State 2

Crop Production State

This state is the most common. Tillage, seeding, and herbicide have destroyed all of the original prairie. Corn and

soybeans are the principal crops. Variation in management within this state creates a wide range of soil properties and can be detrimental to the environment. Reduced tillage or no tillage, alternative crops, and crop rotations will shift this state to another and improve these soil properties.

Community 2.1

Conventional tillage field

corn and soybean rotation is most common

Community 2.2

Conservation tillage alternative crop field

corn and soybean rotation with alfalfa rotation

Pathway P2.1A

Community 2.1 to 2.2

less tillage; residue management; crop rotation

Pathway P2.2A

Community 2.2 to 2.1

Intensive tillage; less residue; mono-culture cropping.

State 3

Cool Season Pasture State

A native reference state transformed into a cool season pasture due to a suppression of fire and heavy grazing by livestock. Very little inputs to the original reference state make this state a possibility for restoration to the reference state. This path would need prescribed fire, grazing, and a reduction of cool season grasses by using a selective herbicide. With a combination of inputs of non-selective herbicide and inter-seeding, the semi-native pasture transitions to a non-native pasture. Continual management is required to prevent invasion of shrubs. This can occur if the site is overgrazed and seeding and herbicide are discontinued.

Community 3.1

Semi Native Pasture

mostly cool season grasses with some natives

Community 3.2

Non Native Pasture

cool season grasses and legumes

Community 3.3

Shrub Invaded Pasture

cool season grasses invaded by multiflora rose, honeylocust, black locust, eastern red cedar.

Pathway P3.1A

Community 3.1 to 3.2

Herbicide, interseeding

Pathway P3.2A

Community 3.2 to 3.1

Overgrazing; lack of seeding/herbicide

Pathway P3.2B

Community 3.2 to 3.3

Abandonment

Pathway P3.3A

Community 3.3 to 3.2

Brush management, herbicide, seeding

State 4

Early Successional Woody Invaded Forest State

Abandonment of the shrub invaded pasture for 20 or more years will result in a woody invaded forest consisting of larger DBH honey locust and red cedar. At this state a significant amount of input and resources would be required to reverse the invaded woody species (Woodland Health, 2004).

Community 4.1

Woody Invaded Forest

larger dbh honey locust and red cedar

Dominant plant species

- honeylocust (*Gleditsia triacanthos*), tree
- eastern redcedar (*Juniperus virginiana*), tree

State 5

Reconstructed Prairie State

Prairie reconstruction is accomplished through site preparation, herbicide, brush management seeding and tillage. Careful management and planting/seeding of forbs or natural propagation will further develop this from an early successional ruderal prairie to a late successional prairie.

Dominant plant species

- big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), grass
- Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*), grass
- switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), grass

Community 5.1

Early Successional Ruderal Prairie

Seeding prairie species in early development

Dominant plant species

- big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), grass
- switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), grass
- Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*), grass
- little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), grass

Community 5.2

Late Successional Prairie

Mix of tallgrass species and native forbs

Dominant plant species

- big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), grass
- Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*), grass
- compassplant (*Silphium laciniatum*), other herbaceous
- browneyed Susan (*Rudbeckia triloba*), other herbaceous

Pathway P5.1A

Community 5.1 to 5.2

Natural forb reseeding or by mechanical planting/seeding

Pathway P5.2A

Community 5.2 to 5.1

Forb failure due to drought and or poor seeding methods

Transition T1A

State 1 to 2

Tillage, seeding, herbicide.

Transition T1B

State 1 to 3

Plowing, seeding, grazing

Restoration pathway R3A

State 3 to 1

Prescribed fire, grazing, herbicides

Transition T3B

State 3 to 2

Sodbuster, tillage, seeding

Transition T3A

State 3 to 4

Abandonment 20 years plus

Transition T3C

State 3 to 5

site preparation, herbicide, brush management, seeding

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

No field plots were available for this site. A review of the scientific literature and professional experience were used to approximate the plant communities for this provisional ecological site. Information for the state-and-transition model was obtained from the same sources. All community phases are considered provisional based on these plots and the sources identified in ecological site description.

Other references

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C. Carpenter, and W.H. McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections of the Conterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76. Washington, DC.

Landfire. 2009. Biophysical Setting 4314210 Central Tallgrass Prairie. In: Landfire National Vegetation Dynamics Models. USDA For. Serv. and U.S. Department of Interior. Washington, DC.

Mutel, Cornelia F. 2008. The Emerald Horizon: The History of Nature in Iowa. University of Iowa Press.

NatureServe. 2013. Associations and alliances of Iowa. St. Paul, Minnesota.

Prior, Jean Cutler. 1991. Landforms of Iowa. University of Iowa Press. Iowa City, Iowa.

Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 12th ed. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. USDA Handbook 296.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Hydrologic Soil Group. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054223#39 accessed August 29, 2016.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Land Capability Classification. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_054226#02 accessed August 29, 2016.

Woodland Health: Stewardship Options for Iowa Woodland Owners. 2004. Iowa Natural Heritage Foundation. Des Moines, Iowa.

Contributors

John Hammerly (john.hammerly@usda.gov)
Dan Pulido (dan.pulido@usda.gov)

Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 10/17/2024

Acknowledgments

This ESD was originally approved prior to April 2021.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	John Hammerly, Soil Scientist Dan Pulido, SSOL
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/10/2025

Approved by	Suzanne Mayne-Kinney
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-