

## Ecological site VX160X01X501 Ustic Fog Drip Forest

Accessed: 05/13/2025

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 160X–Subhumid and Humid Intermediate and High Mountain Slopes

This MLRA occurs in the State of Hawaii on the Big Island of Hawaii and to a small extent on Maui. Elevation ranges from 1000 to 9000 feet (300 to 2725 meters). Slopes are moderate to steep. Topography is sloping to hilly, and cinder cones are common. Lava flows are basaltic or andesitic aa or pahoehoe lava. Aa may form outcrops at higher elevations, but most of the area is covered with material weathered from deep volcanic ash. Areas of volcanic sand and gravel alluvium exist. Average annual precipitation ranges from 20 to 75 inches (500 to 1875 millimeters). Most of the rainfall occurs during kona storms from November through March. In some areas afternoon fog accumulation at higher elevations adds significant moisture to the soil by fog drip and also ameliorates evapotranspiration. Average annual air temperatures range from 50 to 71 degrees F (10 to 20 degrees C), with little seasonal variation. Strong winds are frequent in the saddle between Mauna Kea and Kohala volcanoes. The dominant soil order is Andisols with an isomesic soil temperature regime and ustic or udic soil moisture regime. Native vegetation consists of forests and rangelands that can include medium to tall statured forests, savannas, and grasslands.

### Classification relationships

This ecological site occurs within Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 160 - Subhumid and Humid Intermediate and High Mountain Slopes.

### Ecological site concept

This ecological site is the dry mamani\naio forest that exists on the west-facing, mauka slopes of Mauna Kea on State Lands and on the US Army Pohakuloa Training Area. The US Army portions are not open to the public; the portions on State lands are easily accessible on graded roads beginning at the old Saddle Road.

The central concept of the Ustic Fog Drip Forest is of well drained, deep Andisols formed in deposits of volcanic ash ranging from 11,000 to 300,000 years old. Annual air temperatures and rainfall create cool (isomesic), seasonally dry (ustic) soil conditions conducive to plant species found on similar high elevation areas on the islands of Hawaii and Maui. However, frequent and persistent cloud cover at ground level adds significant amounts of moisture to the soil by fog drip captured by tree canopies. Trees tend to grow in clumps interspersed with open areas vegetated by grasses and shrubs. Overstory trees are short to medium in stature (16 to 40 feet; 5 to 12 meters). Common overstory species are mamani (*Sophora chrysophylla*), naio (*Myoporum sandwicense*), sandalwood (*Santalum paniculatum*), akoko (*Chamaesyce olowaluana*), and mountain pilo (*Coprosma montana*).

### Associated sites

VX160X01X502	<b>Isomesic-Cool Isothermic Forest</b> F160XY502 Isomesic-Cool Isothermic Forest is tall stature forest bordering F160XY501 to the north and northeast at lower elevations on Mauna Kea.
--------------	---

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	(1) <i>Sophora chrysophylla</i> (2) <i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</i>
Herbaceous	Not specified

## Legacy ID

F160XY501HI

## Physiographic features

This ecological site occurs on volcanic ash fields on sloping mountainsides of shield volcanoes. Volcanic ash fields range from very shallow to deep on the underlying lava.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Shield volcano (2) Mountain slope
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,829–2,286 m
Slope	6–20%
Ponding depth	0 cm
Water table depth	152 cm
Aspect	W, NW

## Climatic features

There are no climate stations near this ecological site with complete data sets suitable for automatically filling the data boxes and charts below.

The estimates in the following text are based on modeled climate maps and incomplete and/or historic data sets from multiple stations compiled by NRCS Hawaii Soil Survey.


Average annual precipitation ranges from 20 to 30 inches (510 to 762 mm). Most of the precipitation falls from October through April. Average monthly rainfall can be less than one inch in drier months to about three inches in wetter months.

Average annual temperature ranges from 57 to 66 degrees F (14 to 19 degrees C).


Condensation of fog droplets onto trees and subsequent throughfall adds a significant amount of moisture to this plant community (Juvik and Nullet 1993).

**Table 3. Representative climatic features**

Frost-free period (average)	365 days
Freeze-free period (average)	365 days
Precipitation total (average)	635 mm



**Figure 1. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature**



**Figure 2. Annual precipitation pattern**

## Influencing water features

There are no water features influencing this site.

## Soil features

Typical soils of this ecological site formed in deep, rapidly weathered volcanic ash deposited on aa or pahoehoe. Soil temperature regimes are isomesic. Soil moisture regimes are ustic (in most years, dry for more than 90 cumulative days but less than 180 days).

The volcanic ash soils of the Island of Hawaii are derived mostly from basaltic ash that varies relatively little in chemical composition (Hazlett and Hyndman 1996; Vitousek 2004). Most of these volcanic ash soils are classified as Andisols, which have these general management characteristics: ion exchange capacity that varies with pH, but mostly retaining anions such as nitrate; high phosphorus adsorption, which restricts phosphorus availability to plants; excellent physical properties (low bulk density, good friability, weak stickiness, stable soil aggregates) for cultivation, seedling emergence, and plant root growth; resistance to compaction and an ability to recover from compaction following repeated cycles of wetting and drying; and high capacity to hold water that is available to plants. These characteristics are due to the properties of the parent material, the clay-size noncrystalline materials formed by weathering, and the soil organic matter accumulated during soil formation (Shoji et al. 1993).

Soils that are moderately deep (20 to 40 inches, or 50 to 100 cm) or deeper over underlying lava appear to present few or no limits on native, pasture, or weedy vegetation, and it seems to make no difference whether the lava rock is pahoehoe or aa. However, these soils may present some tillage difficulties when formed in aa and containing significant amounts of coarse rock fragments near the surface.



**Figure 4. Kemole soil.**

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Parent material	(1) Basaltic volcanic ash–basalt
Surface texture	(1) Medial very fine sandy loam (2) Fine sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid to rapid
Soil depth	102–203 cm
Surface fragment cover ≤3"	0–15%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0–40%
Available water capacity (0–101.6cm)	5.08–25.4 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0–101.6cm)	0%
Electrical conductivity (0–101.6cm)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0–101.6cm)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0–101.6cm)	5.4–9
Subsurface fragment volume ≤3" (Depth not specified)	0–55%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0–60%

## Ecological dynamics

The information in this ecological site description (ESD), including the state-and-transition model (STM), was developed using archaeological and historical data, professional experience, and scientific studies. The information is representative of a complex set of plant communities. Not all scenarios or plants are included. Key indicator plants, animals, and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

States and community phases within this ecological site were differentiated by inspection of data; ordination programs were not available. They were verified by professional consensus and observations of consistent examples in the field.

This ecological site mostly occurs on soils developed in deep volcanic ash in a dry region on the western-facing slope of Mauna Kea volcano on the Island of Hawaii. Interception of wind-driven cloud water (fog drip) by trees and shrubs with subsequent throughfall of water to the ground is an important part of the total moisture supply in this ecological site, particularly during the dry summer months (Juvik and Nullet 1993).

### Natural Disturbances

There have been no lava flows or heavy volcanic ash flows on this ecological site that are recent enough to have affected the current vegetation and soils. It is possible that strong storms may sometimes cause minor windthrow of trees. Wildfires started by lightning rarely may affect this ecological site.

### Human Disturbances

Human-related disturbances have been much more important than natural disturbances in this ecological site since the arrival of Polynesians and, later, Europeans. This is reflected in the State and Transition Model Diagram.

Humans arrived in the Hawaiian Islands 1200 to 1500 years ago. Their population gradually increased so that by 1600 AD at least 80% of all the lands in Hawaii below about 1500 feet (roughly 500 meters) in elevation had been extensively altered by humans (Kirch 1982); some pollen core data suggest that up to 100% of lowlands may have been altered (Athens 1997). By the time of European contact late in the 18th century, the Polynesians had developed high population densities and placed extensive areas under intensive agriculture (Cuddihy and Stone 1990).

Prehistoric native lowland forest disturbance can be attributed to clearing for agriculture by hand or by fire, introduction of new plants and animals, and wood harvesting. Higher elevation forests, such as this ecological site, would have been much less affected, but may have been affected by factors such as inadvertently introduced plant diseases and seed predation by the introduced Pacific rat (Athens 1997).

After the arrival of Europeans, documentary evidence attests to accelerated and extensive deforestation, erosion, siltation, and changes in local weather patterns (Kirch 1983) due to more intensive land use, modern tools, and introduction of more plant, animal, and microbe species.

The Polynesians introduced dogs, Pacific rats, and small pigs to the islands. Cattle, sheep, horses, goats, and larger European pigs were introduced in the final decades of the 18th century. These animals ranged free on the islands, becoming very numerous and destructive by the early decades of the 19th century. Additionally, packs of feral dogs had become established, as confirmed by reports of their depredations on sheep. By 1851, records reported severe overstocking of pastures, lack of fences, and large numbers of feral livestock (Henke 1929).

Through the 20th and into the 21st centuries, increases in human populations with attendant land development, as well as accelerated introduction of non-native mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, invertebrates, plants, and microorganisms, have brought about dramatic changes to wild ecosystems in Hawaii. Much of the original forest of this ecological site has been cleared and planted with introduced grasses for livestock grazing, and the remaining native plant stands have been highly disturbed.

Most of the mountain sandalwood (*Santalum paniculatum*) was cut from this ecological site forests and shipped to China in the early 1800s. Most of the sandalwood had been harvested by the 1840s.

By the second quarter of the 19th Century, immense herds of livestock were present in the area. A sheep ranch existed in this area, with headquarters at Humuula Station. The ranch had an area of about 50,000 acres (22,700 hectares) at an elevation ranging between 6600 and 9500 feet (2000 to 2880 hectares). Twelve thousand Merinos were kept. This is a region of heavy dews and much fog and the sheep got all their water from the vegetation. Fence posts were made from mamani and eucalyptus. It was reported in 1856 that much of the vegetation had disappeared and many areas had been cleared to bare soil. Throughout the middle and late 1800s, efforts at control of the introduced herbivores continued, but with only minimal success (Henke 1929). Currently, areas used by domestic livestock are fenced and managed for cool-season perennial grasses.

### State and transition model

Mauna Kea Fog Drip Forest F160XY501




Figure 5. STM F160XY501

## State 1 Reference State

This state consists of one community phase. Tree cover is somewhat clumped. Open areas between clumps are dominated by bunchgrasses or shrub thickets. These forests have standing live timber of 100 to 400 cubic feet per acre, with a representative value of about 300 cubic feet per acre; these estimates may be conservative. Few mountain sandalwood trees remain due to extensive harvesting in the early 19th century. Akoko or alpine sandmat (*Chamaesyce olowaluana*) and mountain pilo or alpine mirrorplant (*Coprosma montana*) trees are browsed heavily by ungulates. It is likely that their former abundance is underestimated in our data.

### Community 1.1 Mamani - naio/ulei/hardstem lovegrass



Figure 6. Reference community phase 1/26/07 D Clausnitzer MU804





Figure 7. Native grass understory. 1/26/07 D Clausnitzer MU804



Figure 8. Closeup of grass cover. 1/26/07 D Clausnitzer MU804



Figure 9. Microbiotic crust on soil surface. 5/15/08 D Clausnitzer generic photo





Figure 10. Native hardstem lovegrass. 5/15/08 D Clausnitzer g



Figure 11. Large ulei shrub. 1/26/07 D Clausnitzer MU804

The general aspect is a forest with a short to medium (15 to 40 feet or 4.5 to 10 meters) stature overstory in dense clumps on the landscape. Open areas are dominated by native perennial cool-season grasses. Ulei or Hawaii hawthorn (*Osteomeles anthyllidifolia*) forms dense thickets up to 30 feet (13.5 meters) in diameter and 10 feet (3 meters) tall. Soil surfaces in interspaces between grass clumps are covered with a microbiotic crust of lichens and mosses.

**Forest overstory.** The overstory consists mostly of mamani (*Sophora chrysophylla*) and naio (*Myoporum sandwicense*). Akoko or alpine sandmat (*Chamaesyce olowaluana*) and mountain pilo or alpine mirrorplant (*Coprosma montana*) are common, and mountain sandalwood (*Santalum paniculatum*) is present but widely dispersed; it is likely that their relative abundances were greater in the past.

**Forest understory.** The understory within tree clumps consists of a mix of hardstem lovegrass (*Eragrostis atropioides*) and pili uka (*Trisetum glomeratum*), the forb kookoolau or viper beggarticks (*Bidens campylotheca*), the fern black spleenwort (*Asplenium adiantum-nigrum*), the shrubs Mauna Loa beggarticks (*Bidens menziesii*) and ulei or Hawaii hawthorn *Osteomeles anthyllidifolium*), and the vines anunu or alpine bur cucumber (*Sicyos macrophyllus*), lava bur cucumber (*Sicyos anunu*) and littleleaf stenogyne (*Stenogyne microphylla*).

Open areas are dominated by the bunchgrasses noted above. Ulei occurs mostly in thickets; the other species are widely dispersed among the grasses. Also common and dispersed in the open areas are the shrubs aweoweo or alaweo (*Chenopodium oahuense*), aalii or Florida hopbush (*Dodonaea viscosa*), and yellow ilima (*Sida fallax*).

Table 5. Soil surface cover

Tree basal cover	1-2%
Shrub/vine/liana basal cover	0.5-1.0%
Grass/grasslike basal cover	2-4%
Forb basal cover	0.5-1.0%



Non-vascular plants	1-5%
Biological crusts	15-20%
Litter	40-50%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0.1-1.0%
Surface fragments >3"	0.1-1.0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	1-2%

**Table 6. Woody ground cover**

Downed wood, fine-small (<0.40" diameter; 1-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-medium (0.40-0.99" diameter; 10-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-large (1.00-2.99" diameter; 100-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, coarse-small (3.00-8.99" diameter; 1,000-hour fuels)	0-0%
Downed wood, coarse-large (>9.00" diameter; 10,000-hour fuels)	—
Tree snags** (hard***)	—
Tree snags** (soft***)	—
Tree snag count** (hard***)	2-5 per hectare
Tree snag count** (hard***)	2-5 per hectare

\* **Decomposition Classes:** N - no or little integration with the soil surface; I - partial to nearly full integration with the soil surface.

\*\* >10.16cm diameter at 1.3716m above ground and >1.8288m height--if less diameter OR height use applicable down wood type; for pinyon and juniper, use 0.3048m above ground.

\*\*\* Hard - tree is dead with most or all of bark intact; Soft - most of bark has sloughed off.

**Table 7. Canopy structure (% cover)**

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	0%	0%	1-2%	1-2%
>0.15 <= 0.3	0%	0%	2-5%	1-2%
>0.3 <= 0.6	0-1%	1-1%	15-25%	1-2%
>0.6 <= 1.4	1-1%	5-10%	—	—
>1.4 <= 4	15-25%	5-10%	—	—
>4 <= 12	25-35%	1-1%	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

## State 2 Invaded Understory State

This state is comprised of one community phase. Most native bunchgrasses, vines, shrubs, tree seedlings and saplings, and microbiotic crusts have been destroyed by ungulates and by competition from introduced plant species. They have been replaced by a dense cover of introduced grasses and forbs and patches of bare soil. Tree abundance is still high but lower than in the Reference State because tree reproduction has been impeded for many years. Native tree diversity is lower than in the Reference State. With ungulate exclusion and weed control, this state can be restored to State 1 Reference State. With continued managed livestock grazing and protection from feral ungulates, it will gradually transition to State 3 Naturalized Grassland. With exposure to large numbers of feral

ungulates, it will transition to State 4 Denuded.

## Community 2.1

### Mamani - naio/common velvetgrass - orchardgrass/Cape-ivy



Figure 12. Introduced grasses and Cape-ivy understory. 5/15/08 D Clausnitzer generic photo



Figure 13. Introduced grasses and forbs. 5/15/08 D Clausnitzer generic photo



Figure 14. Heavy Cape-ivy infestation. 5/15/08 D Clausnitzer generic photo

Cape-ivy (*Delairia odorata*), an introduced climbing vine, is abundant. Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), an introduced forage grass typically found in moist pastures on the mainland, forms a dense cover under the canopies and near the trunks of many trees where it benefits from fog interception and throughfall from the trees. Introduced cool-season (C3) forage grasses dominate the understory.

**Forest overstory.** The overstory consists mostly of mamani (*Sophora chrysophylla*) and naio (*Myoporum*

sandwicense); akoko or alpine sandmat (*Chamaesyce olowaluana*) is also present. Mountain pilo or alpine mirrorplant (*Coprosma montana*) and mountain sandalwood (*Santalum paniculatum*) may be present but are rare. Many trees carry a burden of climbing Cape-ivy.

**Forest understory.** The dominant grasses are introduced cool-season species of good forage quality. Common velvet grass (*Holcus lanatus*) is most abundant, followed by orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*) and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*). Small amounts of introduced warm-season kikuyugrass (*Pennisetum clandestinum*) and native cool-season hardstem lovegrass (*Eragrostis atropioides*) and pili uka (*Trisetum glomeratum*) are usually present. Seedlings and saplings of native tree species are present but not abundant.

**Table 8. Soil surface cover**

Tree basal cover	1-2%
Shrub/vine/liana basal cover	0.0-0.1%
Grass/grasslike basal cover	25-35%
Forb basal cover	0.1-0.5%
Non-vascular plants	0.1-1.0%
Biological crusts	0.1-1.0%
Litter	55-65%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0.1-1.0%
Surface fragments >3"	0.1-1.0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	5-10%

**Table 9. Woody ground cover**

Downed wood, fine-small (<0.40" diameter; 1-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-medium (0.40-0.99" diameter; 10-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-large (1.00-2.99" diameter; 100-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, coarse-small (3.00-8.99" diameter; 1,000-hour fuels)	0-1%
Downed wood, coarse-large (>9.00" diameter; 10,000-hour fuels)	—
Tree snags** (hard***)	—
Tree snags** (soft***)	—
Tree snag count** (hard***)	2-5 per hectare
Tree snag count** (hard***)	5-10 per hectare

\* **Decomposition Classes:** N - no or little integration with the soil surface; I - partial to nearly full integration with the soil surface.

\*\* >10.16cm diameter at 1.3716m above ground and >1.8288m height--if less diameter OR height use applicable down wood type; for pinyon and juniper, use 0.3048m above ground.

\*\*\* Hard - tree is dead with most or all of bark intact; Soft - most of bark has sloughed off.

**Table 10. Canopy structure (% cover)**

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	0%	0-1%	1-5%	1-2%
>0.15 <= 0.3	0%	2-3%	1-5%	3-5%
>0.3 <= 0.6	0%	2-3%	30-40%	10-15%
>0.6 <= 1.4	3-5%	3-5%	1-2%	1-2%
>1.4 <= 4	25-35%	1-2%	—	—
>4 <= 12	—	—	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

### State 3

#### Naturalized Grassland State

This state is comprised of three community phases. An open canopy of remnant native trees may be present. Reduced or absent tree cover results in stronger surface winds, more intense solar radiation reaching the soil surface, and reduced or nonexistent fog interception and throughfall. Introduced, perennial, cool-season (C3) grass species with high forage value dominate this state under good grazing management. Continuous grazing that does not allow the favored forage species time to recover from defoliation or to reproduce from seed results in shifting to phases that contain increased abundance of cool-season perennial grass species of lower value forage value, annual grasses, and weedy forbs. Still heavier grazing pressure and summer grazing results in an increase of kikuyugrass (*Pennisetum clandestinum*) cover, which is more tolerant of heavy defoliation and loss of reproductive parts than cool-season bunchgrasses such as common velvetgrass (*Holcus lanatus*) and orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*). Kikuyugrass is a desired forage species in some other ecological sites. However, in this ecological site this warm-season (C4) species does not grow particularly well. At lower elevations of this ecological site, kikuyugrass can be a fair forage, particularly during extended dry climate cycles that inhibit growth of other forages. At higher elevations, kikuyugrass increases in cover but has low vigor. Application of prescribed grazing and weed control measures can the condition of community phases that have been degraded. In some cases, reseeding of desired cool-season grasses may be worthwhile.

### Community 3.1

#### Common velvetgrass - orchardgrass



Figure 15. Cool-season grasses. 11-07 D Clausnitzer generic photo

The large cool-season grasses that dominate this community phase provide good forage quality and relatively stable production due to their perennial habit.

**Forest overstory.** Scattered to moderately abundant mamani (*Sophora chrysophylla*) and naio (*Myoporum sandwicense*) trees may be present; often there are standing dead trees, also. Few to no seedling or saplings are



present.

**Forest understory.** The dominant grass species in this community phase is common velvetgrass (*Holcus lanatus*), usually with an admixture of orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*). Small amounts of other grass species and weedy forbs are present, as is some rabbitfoot clover (*Trifolium arvense*).

## Community 3.2

### Rescuegrass - sweet vernalgrass



Figure 17. Fescue and vernalgrass with remnant native trees. 11/07 D Clausnitzer generic photo

This community phase is dominated by introduced, cool-season perennial grasses of lower forage value and introduced annual grasses. Weedy forbs have also increased.

**Forest overstory.** Scattered to moderately abundant mamani (*Sophora chrysophylla*) and naio (*Myoporum sandwicense*) trees may be present; often there are standing dead trees, also.

**Forest understory.** The most abundant grass species is sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*). Common velvetgrass is no longer dominant and is roughly equaled in abundance by rescuegrass (*Bromus catharticus*), an introduced annual or short-lived perennial grass. Weedy forbs such as fireweed and narrowleaf plantain have increased in abundance.

Table 11. Soil surface cover

Tree basal cover	0.0-0.1%
Shrub/vine/liana basal cover	0.0-0.1%
Grass/grasslike basal cover	15-25%
Forb basal cover	2-3%
Non-vascular plants	0.1-1.0%
Biological crusts	0.1-1.0%
Litter	50-60%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0.5-1.0%
Surface fragments >3"	0.5-1.0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	5-10%

Table 12. Woody ground cover

Downed wood, fine-small (<0.40" diameter; 1-hour fuels)	—
---	---

Downed wood, fine-medium (0.40-0.99" diameter; 10-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-large (1.00-2.99" diameter; 100-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, coarse-small (3.00-8.99" diameter; 1,000-hour fuels)	0-0%
Downed wood, coarse-large (>9.00" diameter; 10,000-hour fuels)	—
Tree snags** (hard***)	—
Tree snags** (soft***)	—
Tree snag count** (hard***)	2-5 per hectare
Tree snag count** (hard***)	7-10 per hectare

\* **Decomposition Classes:** N - no or little integration with the soil surface; I - partial to nearly full integration with the soil surface.

\*\* >10.16cm diameter at 1.3716m above ground and >1.8288m height--if less diameter OR height use applicable down wood type; for pinyon and juniper, use 0.3048m above ground.

\*\*\* Hard - tree is dead with most or all of bark intact; Soft - most of bark has sloughed off.

Table 13. Canopy structure (% cover)

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	—	0%	1-2%	3-5%
>0.15 <= 0.3	—	1-2%	3-5%	5-10%
>0.3 <= 0.6	—	1-2%	75-85%	15-20%
>0.6 <= 1.4	—	1-1%	1-2%	1-2%
>1.4 <= 4	1-2%	—	—	—
>4 <= 12	1-2%	—	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

### Community 3.3

#### Kikuyugrass - sweet vernalgrass



Figure 18. Grassland with weedy forbs and remnant native trees. 11/07 D Clausnitzer generic photo

This community phase is dominated by low-value forage species. Low-vigor kikuyugrass, a warm-season grass species, has increased in abundance due to reduced competition by tall cool-season grasses and by its relative ability to withstand heavy grazing. Weedy forbs are very abundant.

**Forest overstory.** Scattered to moderately abundant mamani (*Sophora chrysophylla*) and naio (*Myoporum sandwicense*) trees may be present; often there are standing dead trees, also.

**Forest understory.** Sweet vernalgrass is the most abundant grass species; common velvetgrass has declined to be a minor part of the grass cover. Weedy forbs such as fireweed or Madagascar ragwort and narrowleaf plantain are very abundant.

**Table 14. Soil surface cover**

Tree basal cover	0.0-0.1%
Shrub/vine/liana basal cover	0.0-0.1%
Grass/grasslike basal cover	20-30%
Forb basal cover	2-3%
Non-vascular plants	0.1-1.0%
Biological crusts	0.1-1.0%
Litter	60-70%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0.1-1.0%
Surface fragments >3"	0.1-1.0%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%
Bare ground	5-10%

**Table 15. Woody ground cover**

Downed wood, fine-small (<0.40" diameter; 1-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-medium (0.40-0.99" diameter; 10-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, fine-large (1.00-2.99" diameter; 100-hour fuels)	—
Downed wood, coarse-small (3.00-8.99" diameter; 1,000-hour fuels)	0-0%
Downed wood, coarse-large (>9.00" diameter; 10,000-hour fuels)	—
Tree snags** (hard***)	—
Tree snags** (soft***)	—
Tree snag count** (hard***)	0-2 per hectare
Tree snag count** (hard***)	2-5 per hectare

\* **Decomposition Classes:** N - no or little integration with the soil surface; I - partial to nearly full integration with the soil surface.

\*\* >10.16cm diameter at 1.3716m above ground and >1.8288m height--if less diameter OR height use applicable down wood type; for pinyon and juniper, use 0.3048m above ground.

\*\*\* Hard - tree is dead with most or all of bark intact; Soft - most of bark has sloughed off.

**Table 16. Canopy structure (% cover)**

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	—	0%	1-2%	1-5%
>0.15 <= 0.3	—	0-1%	3-5%	5-10%
>0.3 <= 0.6	0%	1-2%	60-65%	25-30%
>0.6 <= 1.4	0%	1-2%	1-2%	1-2%
>1.4 <= 4	1-1%	—	—	—
>4 <= 12	1-1%	—	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

### Pathway 3.1A

#### Community 3.1 to 3.2



Common velvetgrass - orchardgrass



Rescuegrass - sweet vernalgrass

Community phase 3.1 changes to community phase 3.2 by continuous grazing that weakens preferred grass species in relation to poorer forage species such as sweet vernal grass. Fireweed or Madagascar ragwort (*Senecio madagascariensis*) and narrowleaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*) also increase under these conditions.

### Pathway 3.2B

#### Community 3.2 to 3.1



Rescuegrass - sweet vernalgrass



Common velvetgrass - orchardgrass


Community phase 3.2 changes to phase 3.1 by prescribed grazing and weed control. A prescribed grazing plan provides for intensive but temporary grazing of pastures that ensures that cattle consume some low-value forage species along with preferred forages and allows preferred forages time to recover from defoliation and reproduce by seed. The grazing plan may require splitting the herd, creating additional water sources, and creating multiple pastures by cross-fencing. Fireweed is very difficult to control in this ecological site by prescribed grazing alone, and will require some weed control measures to reduce its abundance to acceptable levels.

### Pathway 3.2A

#### Community 3.2 to 3.3



Rescuegrass - sweet vernalgrass



Kikuyugrass - sweet vernalgrass

Community phase 3.2 changes to phase 3.3 by long-term continuous grazing. Desirable forage grasses are much reduced in size, vigor, and abundance and are replaced in large part by low-value forage grasses and weedy forbs.

### Pathway 3.3A

#### Community 3.3 to 3.2



Kikuyugrass - sweet vernalgrass



Rescuegrass - sweet vernalgrass

Community phase 3.3 changes to phase 3.2 by prescribed grazing and extensive weed control. Replanting of desirable forage species also is necessary. The grazing prescription will require removal of livestock from the site until seeded forage species have reestablished adequately to withstand grazing. Thereafter, the grazing plan may require splitting the herd, creating additional water sources, and creating multiple pastures by cross-fencing.



## State 4

### Denuded State

This state consists of one community phase. It results from long-term grazing and browsing by feral and mouflon sheep. Mouflon were introduced on Mauna Kea in the 1960s as a game animal and increased rapidly in numbers. Uncontrolled destruction of vegetation resulted in large areas of higher elevations of Mauna Kea becoming nearly devoid of vegetation. Intensive control of these animals allowed recovery of the vegetation over a period of 30 to 40 years in areas where a sufficient seed bank still existed in the soil. Some areas were actively replanted with native species.

### Community 4.1

#### Brome fescue - annual bluegrass/hairy catsear - common mullein



Figure 19. Denuded state showing fenceline contrast. 11/07 D Clausnitzer generic photo

The vegetation community phase has total percent canopy cover as low as single digits; most of the soil surface is bare. Small amounts of litter are present, and surface rocks are common. Most of the vegetation consists of introduced annual grasses and forbs. Scattered native trees may be present, as are dead standing trees.

**Forest overstory.** A few live mamani and naio trees may be present.

**Forest understory.** The introduced annual grasses brome fescue (*Vulpia bromoides*) and annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*) are present, as is the introduced perennial sweet vernalgrass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*). Forbs consist of fireweed or Madagascar ragweed (*Senecio madagascariensis*), stinking strawflower (*Helichrysum foetida*), common mullein (*Verbascum thapsus*), and hairy catsear (*Hypochaeris radicata*).

Table 17. Soil surface cover

Tree basal cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana basal cover	0%
Grass/grasslike basal cover	1-2%
Forb basal cover	0.5-1.0%
Non-vascular plants	0-1%
Biological crusts	0-1%
Litter	1-5%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	3-5%
Surface fragments >3"	3-5%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0%

Bare ground	80-85%
-------------	--------

**Table 18. Canopy structure (% cover)**

Height Above Ground (M)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.15	—	—	0-1%	0-1%
>0.15 <= 0.3	—	—	1-1%	0-1%
>0.3 <= 0.6	—	—	0-1%	1-1%
>0.6 <= 1.4	—	—	—	0-1%
>1.4 <= 4	—	—	—	—
>4 <= 12	—	—	—	—
>12 <= 24	—	—	—	—
>24 <= 37	—	—	—	—
>37	—	—	—	—

## Transition T1A

### State 1 to 2

The Reference State will transition to State 2 Invaded Understory through the activities of introduced ungulates such as cattle, pigs, goats, and sheep. These animals trample and consume the native understory of tree seedlings, grasses, shrubs, and vines and destroy the soil microbiotic crust by trampling. This opens the site to establishment of introduced grasses, forbs, and vines.

## Restoration pathway R2A

### State 2 to 1

Invaded Understory may be restored to State 1 Reference, or a facsimile of it, by a combination of fire protection, ungulate exclusion, weed control, and native plant reestablishment.

## Transition T2B

### State 2 to 3

Invaded Understory transitions to State 3 Naturalized Grassland mechanical clearing or by wildfire caused by lightning, arson, or vehicle catalytic converters. It can also make this transition gradually as mature trees die off when their reproduction is suppressed due to browsing, trampling, competition from dense stands of introduced grasses and herbs, or smothering by Cape-ivy.

## Transition T2A

### State 2 to 4

Invaded Understory transitions to State 4 Denuded when large numbers of introduced mouflon (*Ovis orientalis orientalis*) and feral sheep are present. They are capable of stripping this ecological site of nearly all vegetation if their numbers are not controlled by hunting. Intensive hunting from helicopters has been necessary at times.

## Restoration pathway R3A

### State 3 to 2

This state may gradually restore itself to State 2 Invaded Understory on sites that still contain moderate native tree cover. Removal of ungulates and absence of fire would allow some reproduction of native trees, resulting in an open forest with a weedy, overgrown herbaceous understory.

## Restoration pathway R4A

## State 4 to 2

Removal of mouflon and feral sheep by shooting and fencing allows any existing seed bank to regrow. Replanting of native tree and shrub species may be necessary.

## Additional community tables

Table 19. Community 1.1 forest overstory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)	Diameter (Cm)	Basal Area (Square M/Hectare)
<b>Tree</b>							
naio	MYS A	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	4–9.1	10–25	15.2–25.4	–
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	4–7.6	15–25	–	–
alpine sandmat	CHOL3	<i>Chamaesyce olowaluana</i>	Native	4–7.6	1–3	–	–
alpine mirrorplant	COMO3	<i>Coprosma montana</i>	Native	4–9.1	1–2	–	–
mountain sandalwood	SAPA7	<i>Santalum paniculatum</i>	Native	4–12.2	0–1	–	–

Table 20. Community 1.1 forest understory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)
<b>Grass/grass-like (Graminoids)</b>					
hardstem lovegrass	ERAT	<i>Eragrostis atropioides</i>	Native	0.3–0.6	15–25
pili uka	TRGL3	<i>Trisetum glomeratum</i>	Native	0.3–0.6	3–5
<b>Forb/Herb</b>					
viper beggarticks	BICA2	<i>Bidens campylotheca</i>	Native	0.3–0.6	1–2
<b>Fern/fern ally</b>					
black spleenwort	ASAD	<i>Asplenium adiantum-nigrum</i>	Native	0.2–0.3	0.1–1
<b>Shrub/Subshrub</b>					
Hawai'i hawthorn	OSAN	<i>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</i>	Native	0.3–3	5–10
Mauna Loa beggarticks	BIME	<i>Bidens menziesii</i>	Native	0.6–2.4	1–3
yellow 'ilima	SIFA	<i>Sida fallax</i>	Native	0.3–1.5	1–2
alaweo	CHOA	<i>Chenopodium oahuense</i>	Native	0.3–2.4	1–2
Florida hopbush	DOVI	<i>Dodonaea viscosa</i>	Native	0.6–3	1–2
<b>Tree</b>					
naio	MYS A	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	0.6–4	5–15
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	0.6–4	3–5
alpine sandmat	CHOL3	<i>Chamaesyce olowaluana</i>	Native	0.6–4	3–5
alpine mirrorplant	COMO3	<i>Coprosma montana</i>	Native	0.6–4	3–5
mountain sandalwood	SAPA7	<i>Santalum paniculatum</i>	Native	0.6–4	–
<b>Vine/Liana</b>					
lava bur cucumber	SIAN4	<i>Sicyos anunu</i>	Native	0.3–3	1–2
littleleaf stenogyne	STMI3	<i>Stenogyne microphylla</i>	Native	0.3–1.5	0.5–1
alpine bur cucumber	SIMA6	<i>Sicyos macrophyllus</i>	Native	0.3–0.6	0–0.1

Table 21. Community 2.1 forest overstory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)	Diameter (Cm)	Basal Area (Square M/Hectare)
<b>Tree</b>							
naio	MYSA	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	4–9.1	15–25	–	–
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	4–7.6	5–15	–	–
alpine sandmat	CHOL3	<i>Chamaesyce olowaluana</i>	Native	4–6.1	1–2	–	–

**Table 22. Community 2.1 forest understory composition**

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)
<b>Grass/grass-like (Graminoids)</b>					
common velvetgrass	HOLA	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	35–45
orchardgrass	DAGL	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	10–15
Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	3–5
sweet vernalgrass	ANOD	<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	3–5
annual bluegrass	POAN	<i>Poa annua</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	1–2
kikuyugrass	PECL2	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	1–2
hardstem lovegrass	ERAT	<i>Eragrostis atropioides</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	1–2
pili uka	TRGL3	<i>Trisetum glomeratum</i>	Native	0.3–0.6	1–2
rescuegrass	BRCA6	<i>Bromus catharticus</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	1–2
<b>Forb/Herb</b>					
Madagascar ragwort	SEMA15	<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	5–15
common mullein	VETH	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	Introduced	0.6–1.2	0.5–2
narrowleaf plantain	PLLA	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0.5–1
stinking strawflower	HEFO4	<i>Helichrysum foetidum</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	0.5–1
hairy cat's ear	HYRA3	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.5–1
Chilean evening primrose	OEST	<i>Oenothera stricta</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.5–1
bull thistle	CIVU	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	0–0.1
<b>Shrub/Subshrub</b>					
Florida hopbush	DOVI	<i>Dodonaea viscosa</i>	Native	0.6–1.8	1–2
alaweo	CHOA	<i>Chenopodium oahuense</i>	Native	0.6–1.2	1–2
Hawai'i hawthorn	OSAN	<i>Osteomeles anthyllidifolia</i>	Native	0.6–1.8	1–2
yellow 'ilima	SIFA	<i>Sida fallax</i>	Native	0.6–1.2	0.5–1
<b>Tree</b>					
naio	MYSA	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	0.6–4	3–5
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	0.6–4	1–2
alpine sandmat	CHOL3	<i>Chamaesyce olowaluana</i>	Native	0.6–4	0.5–1
alpine mirrorplant	COMO3	<i>Coprosma montana</i>	Native	0.6–4	0.5–1
<b>Vine/Liana</b>					
Cape-ivy	DEOD	<i>Delairea odorata</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	5–10

**Table 23. Community 3.1 plant community composition**



Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
<b>Grass/Grasslike</b>					
1	<b>Naturalized Warm Season Grasses</b>			0–140	
	kikuyugrass	PECL2	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	0–140	–
	smut grass	SPIN4	<i>Sporobolus indicus</i>	0–28	–
2	<b>Naturalized Cool Season Grasses</b>			3587–4259	
	common velvetgrass	HOLA	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	3587–4259	–
	sweet vernalgrass	ANOD	<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	0–140	–
	orchardgrass	DAGL	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	0–140	–
	tufted lovegrass	ERPE	<i>Eragrostis pectinacea</i>	0–56	–
	brome fescue	VUBR	<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>	0–56	–
<b>Forb</b>					
3	<b>Naturalized Forbs</b>			0–140	
	hairy cat's ear	HYRA3	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	0–140	–
	Chilean evening primrose	OEST	<i>Oenothera stricta</i>	0–28	–
	rabbitfoot clover	TRAR4	<i>Trifolium arvense</i>	0–28	–
	common mullein	VETH	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	0–28	–
<b>Tree</b>					
4	<b>Native Trees</b>			140–280	
	mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	140–280	–

Table 24. Community 3.2 forest overstory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)	Diameter (Cm)	Basal Area (Square M/Hectare)
<b>Tree</b>							
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	4–6.1	1–2	–	–
naio	MYSA	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	4–6.1	1–2	–	–

Table 25. Community 3.2 forest understory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)
<b>Grass/grass-like (Graminoids)</b>					
sweet vernalgrass	ANOD	<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	35–45
rescuegrass	BRCA6	<i>Bromus catharticus</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	15–25
common velvetgrass	HOLA	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	15–25
orchardgrass	DAGL	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	3–5
brome fescue	VUBR	<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	1–2
annual bluegrass	POAN	<i>Poa annua</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	1–2
kikuyugrass	PECL2	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.5–1
<b>Forb/Herb</b>					
Madagascar ragwort	SEMA15	<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	10–20
narrowleaf plantain	PLLA	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	3–5
common mullein	VETH	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	1–2
Cape-ivy	DEOD	<i>Delairea odorata</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	1–2
hairy cat's ear	HYRA3	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0.5–1
Chilean evening primrose	OEST	<i>Oenothera stricta</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.5–1
stinking strawflower	HEFO4	<i>Helichrysum foetidum</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	0.5–1
bull thistle	CIVU	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	0–0.1
<b>Shrub/Subshrub</b>					
Florida hopbush	DOVI	<i>Dodonaea viscosa</i>	Native	0.6–1.8	1–2
yellow 'ilima	SIFA	<i>Sida fallax</i>	Native	0.6–0.9	1–2
<b>Tree</b>					
naio	MYSA	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	1.8–3	0.5–1
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	1.8–3	0.5–1

**Table 26. Community 3.3 forest overstory composition**

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)	Diameter (Cm)	Basal Area (Square M/Hectare)
<b>Tree</b>							
naio	MYSA	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	4–6.1	0.5–1	–	–
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	4–6.1	0.5–1	–	–

**Table 27. Community 3.3 forest understory composition**

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)
<b>Grass/grass-like (Graminoids)</b>					
sweet vernalgrass	ANOD	<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	35–45
common velvetgrass	HOLA	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	10–15
kikuyugrass	PECL2	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.5	5–10
rescuegrass	BRCA6	<i>Bromus catharticus</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	5–10
orchardgrass	DAGL	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	1–2
brome fescue	VUBR	<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.5–1
annual bluegrass	POAN	<i>Poa annua</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0.5–1
<b>Forb/Herb</b>					
Madagascar ragwort	SEMA15	<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	20–30
narrowleaf plantain	PLLA	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	3–5
stinking strawflower	HEFO4	<i>Helichrysum foetidum</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.6	1–2
common mullein	VETH	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	Introduced	0.6–1.2	0.5–1
hairy cat's ear	HYRA3	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0.5–1
Chilean evening primrose	OEST	<i>Oenothera stricta</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.5–1
bull thistle	CIVU	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	0–0.1
<b>Shrub/Subshrub</b>					
Florida hopbush	DOVI	<i>Dodonaea viscosa</i>	Native	0.6–1.8	1–2
yellow 'ilima	SIFA	<i>Sida fallax</i>	Native	0.6–0.9	0.5–1
<b>Tree</b>					
naio	MYSA	<i>Myoporum sandwicense</i>	Native	1.8–4	0.5–1
mamani	SOCH	<i>Sophora chrysophylla</i>	Native	1.8–4	0.5–1
<b>Vine/Liana</b>					
Cape-ivy	DEOD	<i>Delairea odorata</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	0.5–1

Table 28. Community 4.1 forest understory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (M)	Canopy Cover (%)
<b>Grass/grass-like (Graminoids)</b>					
sweet vernalgrass	ANOD	<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	Introduced	0.3–0.6	0.5–1
brome fescue	VUBR	<i>Vulpia bromoides</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0.1–0.5
annual bluegrass	POAN	<i>Poa annua</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0.1–0.5
<b>Forb/Herb</b>					
common mullein	VETH	<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	Introduced	0.6–0.9	0.5–1
Madagascar ragwort	SEMA15	<i>Senecio madagascariensis</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.1–0.5
stinking strawflower	HEFO4	<i>Helichrysum foetidum</i>	Introduced	0.2–0.3	0.1–0.5
hairy cat's ear	HYRA3	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	Introduced	0.1–0.2	0–0.1

## Animal community

### Native Wildlife

The most notable native bird species in this ecological site is the palila (*Loxioides bailleui*). Adults of this species feed almost exclusively on mamani seed pods and nest in mamani trees. Most of the remaining population is in this ecological site. Other native birds present here include: elepaio (*Chasiempis sandwichensis bryani*), amakihi (*Hemignathus virens*), apapane (*Himatione sanguinea*), and iiwi (*Vestiaria coccinea*). It also is home to the Hawaiian hoary bat or opeapea (*Lasiurus cenarius semotus*). These species may be encountered within community

phases with native tree cover. Community phases that provide open grassland or savanna-like settings provide habitat for the native Hawaiian owl or pueo (*Asio flammeus* spp. *sandwichensis*) and, rarely, the Hawaiian hawk or io (*Buteo solitarius*) (Birdlife International website).

A large number of native bird species have gone extinct both before and after European contact.

#### Introduced Wildlife

This ecological site provides habitat to a variety of introduced birds. Species such as wild turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*), ring-necked pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*), Erckel's francolin (*Pternistis erckelii*), black francolin (*Francolinus francolinus*), and kalij pheasant (*Lophura leucomelanos*) are considered to be game birds.

Feral pigs, feral sheep, and mouflon sheep are common. They provide hunting opportunities but are very destructive to native vegetation. Public sport hunting typically does not have a major impact on their populations; exclusion by fences followed or intensive hunting from helicopters have been necessary to reduce mouflon populations to levels at which they do not cause major damage to vegetation and soils.

Feral cats are present and are a threat to native bird species.

Introduced wildlife species are able to utilize all community phases within the ecological site.

#### Grazing Interpretations

The following table lists suggested initial stocking rates for cattle under the Forage Value Rating system for only community phase 3.1. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Sometimes the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community described in this ecological site description. Because of this, a field visit is recommended to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using the following stocking rate information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies may result in an increased stocking rate.

#### Forage Value Rating (note 1)

Very High (note 2) 4.0-5.0 acre/AUM (note 3) 0.24-0.20 AUM/acre

High 5.0-7.0 acre/AUM 0.20-0.14 AUM/acre

Moderate 7/0-12.5 acre/AUM 0.14-0.08 AUM/acre

Low 12.5-+ acre/AUM 0.08-+ AUM/acre

(note 1) The Forage Value Rating System is not an ecological evaluation of community phase 3.1. It is a utilitarian rating of the existing forage value for that specific plant community.

(note 2) Conservationists must use considerable judgment, because some pastures in the Very High forage class could be producing less than normal volumes of forage, and adjustments would need to be made in the initial stocking rate.

(note 3) Stocking rates vary in accordance with such factors as kind and class of livestock or wildlife, season of use, and fluctuations in climate. Actual use records and on-site inventories for individual sites, together with a determination of the degree to which the sites have been grazed, offer the most reliable basis for developing initial stocking rates.

The naturalized grassland plant communities on this site is suitable for grazing by all kinds and classes of livestock, at any season, particularly cattle. However, this site is best utilized for grazing during the major plant growth period described in the "Climate" section. This site is suited for grazing by both cow-calf operations and stocker operations. However, sheep can be grazed on this site as well. This site is poorly suited to continuous year-long use if the plant community is to be maintained. Herbaceous forage can be deficient in protein during the drier months.



## Hydrological functions

Dense fog is common in the areas occupied by this ecological site. Fog drip, or fog captured by trees and transferred to the soil, is an important hydrological factor, possibly adding 15-20% additional moisture to inputs from rain. Most of the community phases of this ecological site are probably not prone to excessive erosion except for State 4 Denuded.

## Recreational uses

Hunting of introduced ungulates and game birds is the most common recreational use. Hiking and birdwatching are also done. Access by vehicle and on foot is easy in most areas.

## Wood products

Mountain sandalwood was a valuable wood product in the past, and mamani wood was used for fence posts. There are no current wood products harvested from this ecological site.

## Other products

None.

## Other information

### Definitions

These definitions have been greatly simplified for brevity and do not cover every aspect of each topic.

**Aa lava:** A type of basaltic lava having a rough, jagged, clinkery surface and a vesicular interior.

**Alluvial:** Materials or processes associated with transportation and/or deposition by running water.

**Aquic soil moisture regime:** A regime in which the soil is free of dissolved oxygen because it is saturated by water. This regime typically exists in bogs or swamps.

**Aridic soil moisture regime:** A regime in which defined parts of the soil are, in normal years, dry for more than half of the growing season and moist for less than 90 consecutive days during the growing season. In Hawaii it is associated with hot, dry areas with plants such as kiawe, wiliwili, and buffelgrass. The terms aridic and torric are basically the same.

**Ash field:** a land area covered by a thick or distinctive deposit of volcanic ash that can be traced to a specific source and has well defined boundaries. The term “ash flow” is erroneously used in the Physiographic section of this ESD due to a flaw in the national database.

**Ashy:** A “soil texture modifier” for volcanic ash soils having a water content at the crop wilting point of less than 30 percent; a soil that holds relatively less water than “medial” and “hydrous” soils.

**Available water capacity:** The amount of soil water available to plants to the depth of the first root-restricting layer.

**Basal area or basal cover:** The cross sectional area of the stem or stems of a plant or of all plants in a stand.

**Blue rock:** The dense, hard, massive lava that forms the inner core of an aa lava flow.

**Bulk density:** the weight of dry soil per unit of volume. Lower bulk density indicates a greater amount of pore space that can hold water and air in a soil.

**CaCO<sub>3</sub> equivalent:** The amount of free lime in a soil. Free lime exists as solid material and typically occurs in regions with a dry climate.

**Canopy cover:** The percentage of ground covered by the vertical projection downward of the outermost perimeter of

the spread of plant foliage. Small openings within the canopy are included.

**Community pathway:** A description of the causes of shifts between community phases. A community pathway is reversible and is attributable to succession, natural disturbances, short-term climatic variation, and facilitating practices, such as grazing management.

**Community phase:** A unique assemblage of plants and associated dynamic soil properties within a state.

**Dominant species:** Plant species or species groups that exert considerable influence upon a community due to size, abundance, or cover.

**Drainage class:** The frequency and duration of a water table in a soil. There are seven drainage classes, ranging from “excessively drained” (soils with very rare or very deep water tables) to “well drained” (soils that provide ample water for plant growth but are not so wet as to inhibit root growth) to “very poorly drained” (soils with a water table at or near the surface during much of the growing season that inhibits growth of most plants).

**Electrical conductivity (EC):** A measure of the salinity of a soil. The standard unit is deciSiemens per meter (dS/m), which is numerically equivalent to millimhos per centimeter (mmhos/cm). An EC greater than about 4 dS/m indicates a salinity level that is unfavorable to growth of most plants.

**Friability:** A soil consistency term pertaining to the ease of crumbling of soils.

**Hydrous:** A “soil texture modifier” for volcanic ash soils having a water content at the crop wilting point of 100 percent or more; a soil that holds more water than “medial” or “ashy” soils.

**Ion exchange capacity:** The ability of soil materials such as clay or organic matter to retain ions (which may be plant nutrients) and to release those ions for uptake by roots.

**Isohyperthermic soil temperature regime:** A regime in which mean annual soil temperature is 72 degrees F (22 degrees C) or higher and mean summer and mean winter soil temperatures differ by less than 11 degrees F (6 degrees C) at a specified depth.

**Isomesic soil temperature regime:** A regime in which mean annual soil temperature is 47 degrees F (8 degrees C) or higher but lower than 59 degrees F (15 degrees C) and mean summer and mean winter soil temperatures differ by less than 11 degrees F (6 degrees C) at a specified depth.

**Isothermic soil temperature regime:** A regime in which mean annual soil temperature is 59 degrees F (15 degrees C) or higher but lower than 72 degrees F (22 degrees C) and mean summer and mean winter soil temperatures differ by less than 11 degrees F (6 degrees C) at a specified depth.

**Kipuka:** An area of land surrounded by younger (more recent) lava. Soils and plant communities within a kipuka are older than, and often quite different from, those on the surrounding surfaces.

**Major Land Resource Area (MLRA):** A geographic area defined by NRCS that is characterized by a particular pattern of soils, climate, water resources, and land uses. The island of Hawaii contains nine MLRAs, some of which also occur on other islands in the state.

**Makai:** a Hawaiian word meaning “toward the sea.”

**Mauka:** a Hawaiian word meaning “toward the mountain” or “inland.”

**Medial:** A “soil texture modifier” for volcanic ash soils having a water content at the crop wilting point of 30 to 100 percent; a soil that holds an amount of water intermediate to “hydrous” or “ashy” soils.

**Naturalized plant community:** A community dominated by adapted, introduced species. It is a relatively stable community resulting from secondary succession after disturbance. Most grasslands in Hawaii are in this category.

**Pahoehoe lava:** A type of basaltic lava with a smooth, billowy, or rope-like surface and vesicular interior.

Parent material: Unconsolidated and chemically weathered material from which a soil is developed.

Perudic soil moisture regime: A very wet regime found where precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration in all months of normal years. On the island of Hawaii, this regime is found on top of Kohala and on parts of the windward side of Mauna Kea.

pH: The numerical expression of the relative acidity or alkalinity of a soil sample. A pH of 7 is neutral; a pH below 7 is acidic and a pH above 7 is basic.

Phosphorus adsorption: The ability of soil materials to tightly retain phosphorous ions, which are a plant nutrient. Some volcanic ash soils retain phosphorus so strongly that it is partly unavailable to plants.

Reference community phase: The phase exhibiting the characteristics of the reference state and containing the full complement of plant species that historically occupied the site. It is the community phase used to classify an ecological site.

Reference state: A state that describes the ecological potential and natural or historical range of variability of an ecological site.

Restoration pathway: A term describing the environmental conditions and practices that are required to recover a state that has undergone a transition.

Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR): A measure of the amount of dissolved sodium relative to calcium and magnesium in the soil water. SAR values higher than 13 create soil conditions unfavorable to most plants.

Soil moisture regime: A term referring to the presence or absence either of ground water or of water held at a tension of less than 1500 kPa (the crop wilting point) in the soil or in specific horizons during periods of the year.

Soil temperature regime: A defined class based on mean annual soil temperature and on differences between summer and winter temperatures at a specified depth.

Soil reaction: Numerical expression in pH units of the relative acidity or alkalinity of a soil.

State: One or more community phases and their soil properties that interact with the abiotic and biotic environment to produce persistent functional and structural attributes associated with a characteristic range of variability.

State-and-transition model: A method used to display information about relationships between vegetation, soil, animals, hydrology, disturbances, and management actions on an ecological site.

Torric soil moisture regime: See Aridic soil moisture regime.

Transition: A term describing the biotic or abiotic variables or events that contribute to loss of state resilience and result in shifts between states.

Udic soil moisture regime: A regime in which the soil is not dry in any part for as long as 90 cumulative days in normal years, and so provides ample moisture for plants. In Hawaii it is associated with forests in which hapuu (tree ferns) are usually moderately to highly abundant.

Ustic soil moisture regime: A regime in which moisture is limited but present at a time when conditions are suitable for plant growth. In Hawaii it usually is associated with dry forests and subalpine shrublands.

## Type locality

Location 1: Hawaii County, HI	
Latitude	19° 49' 18"
Longitude	155° 36' 35"

General legal description	Hawaii County, Island of Hawaii, USGS Quad: Ahumoa. From Saddle Rd. drive mauka on jeep trail at hunter check station near Girl Scout Camp; drive 1.8 mi ENE, and walk west 50 yds.
---------------------------	---

## Other references

Armstrong RW. 1973. Atlas of Hawaii. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu.

Athens JS. Ch. 12 Hawaiian Native Lowland Vegetation in Prehistory in Historical Ecology in the Pacific Islands – Prehistoric Environmental and Landscape Change. Kirch PV and TL Hunt, eds. 1997. Yale U. Press, New Haven.

Birdlife International website: [www.birdlife.org/datazone/sitefactsheet.php?id=26105](http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/sitefactsheet.php?id=26105)

Burney DA, HF James, LP Burney, SL Olson, W Kikuchi, WL Wagner, M Burney, D McCloskey, D Kikuchi, FV Grady, R Gage II, and R Nishek. 2001. Fossil evidence for a diverse biota from Kauai and its transformation since human arrival. *Ecological Monographs* 71:615-641.

Clark JT. 1983. Report 3: The Waimea-Kawaihae Region: Historical Background. In Archaeological investigations of the Mudlane-Waimea-Kawaihae Road Corridor, Island of Hawaii: an Interdisciplinary Study of an Environmental Transect. Clark JT and Kirch PV, eds. Dept. of Anthropology, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Report 83-1, Honolulu, HI.

Craighill ES and EG Handy. 1991. Native Planters in Old Hawaii – Their Life, Lore, and Environment. Bernice P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 233, Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu, HI

Cuddihy LW and CP Stone. 1990. Alteration of Native Hawaiian Vegetation: Effects of Humans, Their Activities and Introductions. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Cooperative National Park Resources Study Unit.

Dougill, S and T Rogers. Plants of the Subalpine Forests of Mauna Kea. USGS-BRD, PIERCE, Kilauea Field Station, PO Box 44, HAVO, HI 96718.

Hazlett RW and DW Hyndman. 1996. Roadside Geology of Hawaii. Mountain Press Publishing Company, Missoula MT.

Henke LA. 1929. A Survey of Livestock in Hawaii. Research Publication No. 5. University of Hawaii, Honolulu.

Jacobi JD. 1989. Vegetation Maps of the Upland Plant Communities on the Islands of Hawaii, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai. Technical Report 68. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii at Manoa and National Park Service.

Juvik JO and D Nullet. 1993. Relationships between rainfall, cloud-water interception, and canopy throughfall in a Hawaiian montane forest. IN: Tropical Montane Cloud Forests. Proc. Int. Sym., San Juan, PR. Hamilton LS, JO Juvik, and FN Scatena, eds. East-West Center.

Kirch PV. 1982. The impact of the prehistoric Polynesians in the Hawaiian ecosystem. *Pacific Science* 36(1):1-14.

Kirch PV. 1985. Feathered Gods and Fishhooks: An Introduction to Hawaiian Archaeology and Prehistory. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.

Kirch PV. 2000. On the Road of the Winds: An Archaeological History of the Pacific Islands Before European Contact. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Little EL Jr. and RG Skolmen. 1989. Common Forest Trees of Hawaii (Native and Introduced). US Department of Agriculture-US Forest Service Agriculture Handbook No. 679. (out of print). Available at [www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/misc/ah679.pdf](http://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/misc/ah679.pdf)

Mueller-Dombois D and FR Fosberg. 1998. Vegetation of the Tropical Pacific Islands. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.

- Palmer DD. 2003. Hawaii's Ferns and Fern Allies. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu.
- Pratt HD. 1998. A Pocket Guide to Hawaii's Trees and Shrubs. Mutual Publishing, Honolulu.
- Ripperton JC and EY Hosaka. 1942. Vegetation zones of Hawaii. Hawaii Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 89:1-60.
- Rock JF. The Indigenous Trees of the Hawaiian Islands. 1st edition 1913, reprinted 1974, Charles E. Tuttle Company, Rutland, VT and Tokyo, Japan.
- Shoji SD, M Nanzyo, and R Dahlgren. 1993. Volcanic Ash Soils: Genesis, Properties and Utilization. Elsevier, New York.
- Sohmer SH and R Gustafson. 2000. Plants and Flowers of Hawaii. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu.
- Steadman DW. 1995. Prehistoric extinctions of Pacific island birds: biodiversity meets zooarchaeology. Science 267:1123-1131.
- USDA-NRCS-PIA T&E Species GIS files. Not publicly available.
- USDI-USGS. 2006. A GAP Analysis of Hawaii. Final Report and Data.
- Vitousek P. 2004. Nutrient Cycling and Limitation: Hawaii as a Model Ecosystem. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- Wagner, WL, DR Herbst, and SH Sohmer. 1999. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii, Revised Edition. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
- Welch DJ 1983. Report 5: Archaeological investigations in Section 2. In Archaeological investigations of the Mudlane-Waimea-Kawaihae Road Corridor, Island of Hawaii: an Interdisciplinary Study of an Environmental Transect. Clark JT and Kirch PV, eds. Dept. of Anthropology, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Report 83-1, Honolulu, HI.
- Whistler, WA. 1995. Wayside Plants of the Islands: a Guide to the Lowland Flora of the Pacific Islands. Isle Botanica, Honolulu.

## **Contributors**

David Clausnitzer  
Loretta Metz  
Joseph May

## **Acknowledgments**

Assistance, advice, review, and/or insights:

Mick Castillo  
Michael Constantinides, NRCS-PIA  
Susan Cordell, USFS  
Gordon Cran, Kapapala Ranch  
David Leonard, volunteer  
JB Friday, UH Forestry Extension  
Rick Gordon  
Basil Hansen, The Nature Conservancy  
Jennifer Higashino, USFWS and NRCS  
Flint Hughes, USFS  
Chris Jasper, NRCS Soil Survey  
Mel Johansen, The Nature Conservancy



Kathy Kawakami, US Army Pohakuloa Training Ground  
Rhonda Loh, Volcanoes National Park  
Kamehameha Schools/Bishop Estate  
Miles Nakahara, Hawaii DOFAW  
Laura Nelson, The Nature Conservancy and NRCS  
Patrick Niemeyer, NRCS Soil Survey  
Billy Paris, rancher  
John Pipan  
Jon Price, USGS  
John Replogle, The Nature Conservancy  
Paul Scowcroft, USFS  
Earl Spence, grazing consultant  
Jim Thain  
Mike Tomich  
Quentin Tomich  
Tim Tunison, Volcanoes National Park  
Jill Wagner, consultant, Future Forests  
Rick Warschauer

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

- 
6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**
- 
7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**
- 
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**
- 
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**
- 
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**
- 
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**
- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant:
- Sub-dominant:
- Other:
- Additional:
- 
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
- 
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):**
- 
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
- 
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not**

invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**

---