Ecological site group F004BK102CA
Fog-influenced, lower elevation mountain slopes

Last updated: 03/07/2025
Accessed: 05/10/2025

Key Characteristics

- Not like the previous LRUs – LRU K
- Fog-influenced, low elevation mountain slopes

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Physiography

This ESG dominates the low elevations of the mountains of LRU K that are solidly within the coastal fog influence, especially during the summer months. Slopes are generally over 30%, but can be gentler in some areas and elevations are generally under 1500 ft.

Climate

The average annual precipitation in this MLRA is 23 to 98 inches (585 to 2,490 millimeters), increasing with elevation inland. Most of the rainfall occurs as low-intensity, Pacific frontal storms. Precipitation is evenly distributed throughout fall, winter, and spring, but summers are dry. Snowfall is rare along the coast, but snow accumulates at the higher elevations directly inland. Fog is a significant variable that defines this MLRA from other similar MLRAs. Summer fog frequency values of greater than 35% are strongly correlated to the extent of coast redwood distribution, which is a primary indicator species in this MLRA. Nightime fog is approximately twice as common as daytime fog and seasonally, it reaches its peak frequency in early August, with the greatest occurrence of fog from June through September (Johnstone and Dawson 2010). The average annual temperature is 49 to 59 degrees F (10 to 15 degrees C). The freeze-free period averages 300 days and ranges from 230 to 365 days, decreasing inland as elevation increases.

Unlike the conifer-dominated forests of LRU I to the north, these central redwood forests in LRU K are typically more a mixture of conifers and hardwoods. Vegetation includes a multi-story canopy of redwood, Douglas-fir, tanoak, bigleaf maple, evergreen shrubs, and various grasses. The near-coastal part of the region that is influenced more by fog has more redwoods and similarities to LRU I to the north, however the drier summers and more limited duration of coastal fog limits the competitive advantage of the coastal redwoods in this LRU. This creates limited areas that remain dominated by coast redwood and a larger portion of the LRU dominated by Douglas-fir and other hardwoods, with some redwoods near the lower parts of the mountain slopes where fog still has some influence or the drainages are narrower and remain cooler in the summers, limiting evapotranspiration losses.

Soil features

Although coast redwood and Douglas-fir can grow on a variety of soils, the soils most associated with this concept are primarily found on colluvium and residuum materials derived from sandstone, conglomerate and mudstone, with soils that are loamy textured and can be skeletal. Soil depth ranges but most all of the soils have a lithic or paralithic contact within 60 inches.

Vegetation dynamics

This provisional ecological site concept attempts to describe the coast redwood-Douglas-fir dominated mountain slopes that can be found within LRU K. This concept is primarily supported through literature and available information from the Humboldt County Surveys. This provisional ecological site concept covers the mountains within proximity to the coast and at the lower elevations that spend longer periods within the summer coastal fog. The ustic-isomesic soil climate regime of this LRU is mainly at elevations between 500 and 2,000 feet. It is within the zone of moderate marine influence. The fog influence is less pronounced than in the udic moisture regime, but some moisture is added to the soil where the tree canopy causes water to precipitate from the fog. The fog is less dense and does not blanket this zone as frequently as in the wetter zone at the lower elevations. The soils are dry for part of the summer, and there is little variation between summer and winter soil temperatures at a depth of 20 inches. The first continuous north-south range of mountains inland from the coast that reaches 2,000 feet forms an effective barrier to the encroachment of marine air. In some drainageways, such as the Noyo River watershed, this zone extends inland 20 miles or more. In other areas, such as along Elkhorn Ridge 7 miles north of Branscomb, the marine influence stops within 8 miles of the coast. Future work will need to be done to better understand the soil and site characteristics that drive the vegetation expression for this provisional ecological site concept.

Coast redwood attains a height of 395 ft (~120 m), and an age of at least 2200 years. Roots are shallow without a taproot. Trees begin bearing cones by 5 to 15 years of age and seed production is generally high, however seed viability is low. Wind and gravity disperse the seeds, with most falling within 395-400 ft of the parent tree. Seedling establishment is best on moist soil lacking litter but can occur on duff or logs. Plants are moderately shade tolerant, but they grow faster in higher light levels if soil moisture is present (MCV 2018).

Douglas-fir is a large, coniferous, evergreen tree. Adapted to a moist, mild climate, it grows bigger and more rapidly than the inland variety. Trees 5 to 6 feet (150-180 cm) in diameter (150-180 cm) and 250 feet (76 m) or more in height are common in old-growth stands. These trees commonly live more than 500 years and occasionally more than 1,000 years. Old individuals typically have a narrow, cylindric crown beginning 65 to 130 feet (20-40 m) above a branch-free bole. It often takes 77 years for the bole to be clear to a height of 17 feet (5 m) and 107 years to be clear to a height of 33 feet (10 m). In wet coastal forests, nearly every surface of old-growth Douglas-fir in this ecological site is often covered by epiphytic mosses and lichens (FEIS, 2018). This tree's rooting habit is not particularly deep. The roots of young Douglas-fir tend to be shallower than roots of many of the same aged conifers like ponderosa pine, sugar pine, or incense-cedar. Some roots are commonly found in organic soil layers or near the mineral soil surface.

This ecological site group is dominated by a multi-tiered canopy of conifers, with coast redwood making up 15-50% of the stands basal area and Douglas-fir and other hardwoods accounting for between 30-50%. Pacific rhododendron and tanoak readily establish after disturbance and may dominate the overstory for several years post-disturbance. Fallen logs are an essential part of this concept, providing significant habitat for wildlife species and conifer recruits. Conifer recruitment on the bare mineral soil is rare, due to the thick litter layer and organic surface soil and is therefore relegated only to areas of surface soil disturbance from mass wasting, logging practices, wind throw, and recreation trails.

Primary Disturbances

Fire is the principal disturbance agent in both young-growth and old-growth stands. Lightning-ignited fires do occur (Van Wagtendonk and Cayan, 2008, Kalashnikov et al 2022), however, Native American burning is thought to have also played a major role with fires set in areas adjacent to redwood forest burning into the redwood zone (Greenlee and Langenheim, 1990, Veirs, 1996). The northern range of redwoods evolved within a low to moderate natural disturbance regime (Veirs, 1980). The mean fire interval is quite variable. Old-growth stands show evidence of three or more severe fires each century, and the distribution of fires appears as a natural pattern of several short intervals between fires followed by one or more long interval (Stuart 1987, Jacobs et al. 1985). The co-dominance of Douglas-fir and hardwoods in this provisional ecological site concept indicates a more regular occurrence of fires and disturbance, since Douglas-fir would only dominate in this type of forest if there were adequate openings and mineral soils periodically exposed to facilitate regeneration. Fire scars are abundant throughout old-growth stands and are evidence of this fire history. Previous harvesting and the use of fire to treat logging slash in this area has also changed species composition on many formerly redwood-dominated sites (Noss et al, 2000).

Redwood, tanoak and other hardwoods can re-sprout following fire. After fire, redwood may sprout from the root crown or from dormant buds located under the bark of the bole and branches (Veirs, 1996, Noss, 2000), while tanoak and other hardwoods sprout from the root crown, root collar, lower part of the stem and underground burls (McDonald and Tappeiner 1986). The sprouting ability of redwood is most vigorous in younger stands and decreases with age, while the ability to survive fire increases with age as its fibrous bark thickens. Frequent fire reduces tanoak's sprouting ability and tends to keep understories open (Arno, 2002). Fire exclusion would allow for the gradual increase of tanoak in the understory (McMurray, 1989). Surface fires likely modified the tree species composition by favoring the thicker-barked redwood and killing young tanoak. Fires also expose the mineral-rich soil and reduce competition from other plants, thereby increasing the establishment of Douglas-fir (Veirs, 1980, Agee, 1993). Tanoak seedlings and sapling-sized stems are often top-killed by surface fire, though larger stems may survive with only basal wounding (Fryer, 2008).

A moderate fire could lead towards more of a mosaic in regeneration patterns. Patches of trees would be killed leaving others slightly damaged or unharmed. Douglas-fir regeneration would be favored in the large gaps that are created following a moderate fire, potentially leading to a larger proportion of Douglas-fir to redwood for several centuries (Agee, 1993). Without these gaps caused by fire, Douglas-fir regeneration is unsuccessful, and with continued lack of disturbance it may slowly be replaced by redwood as the dominant canopy species (Veirs, 1980, 1996).

Other potential disturbances in the redwood zone include winter storms that can cause top breakage and blowdown. This breakage may kill individual or groups of trees and create small openings from windfall (Noss, 2000). This would likely favor the establishment of redwood and other shade tolerant conifers.

Significant disturbance to this ecological site would occur if there are climatic changes great enough to impact the amount of fog influence this ecological site experiences on a yearly basis. Coast redwoods reliance on fog is crucial to its survival and without the moisture available in the summer from the daily blanket of fog, redwoods may begin to die out and Douglas-fir would begin replacing those redwood stands. Well established redwoods may persist where access to water is still available (concave depressions, valley bottoms, etc.) until removed by fire or other types of human disturbance.

Coast redwood is one of the signature trees of California, with 95% of its range existing within the state. Years of logging have left significantly lower amounts of the original forest (Sawyer et al. 2000b). Old-growth stands exist mainly in protected areas including parks, experimental forests, and private reserves. Asexual regeneration is prolific and many stands of younger trees exist, but many areas are on the third cycle of regeneration with collateral impacts of erosion, streambed siltation, and alteration to watershed and wildlife values. Residential development is an increasing concern.

References and Citations:

Agee, James. (1996). Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests. The Bark Beetles, Fuels, and Fire Bibliography.

Barbour, M., Keeler-Wolf, T., & Schoenherr, A. A. (Eds.). 2007. Terrestrial vegetation of California. Univ of California Press.

Burgess, S. S. O., & Dawson, T. E. 2004. The contribution of fog to the water relations of *Sequoia sempervirens* (D. Don): foliar uptake and prevention of dehydration. Plant, cell & environment, 27(8), 1023-1034.

Franklin. J.F. & C.T. Dyrness. 1973. Natural vegetation of Oregon and Washington. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report PNW-8. p. 417.

Fryer, Janet L. 2008. *Notholithocarpus densiflorus*. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/notden/all.html / [2024, January 9].

Greenlee, J.M. and J.H. Langenheim. 1990. Historic Fire Regimes and Their Relation to Vegetation Patterns in the Monterey Bay Area of California. American Midland Naturalist, vol 124: 239-253.

Griffith, Randy Scott. 1992. *Picea sitchensis*. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/picsit/all.html [2024, January 9].

Griffith, Randy Scott. 1992. *Sequoia sempervirens*. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available:

https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/seqsem/all.html [2024, January 9].

Jacobs, Diana F., D.W. Cole, and J.R. McBride. 1985. Fire History and Perpetuation of Natural Coast Redwood Ecosystems, Journal of Forestry, Volume 83, Issue 8: 494–497. https://doi.org/10.1093/jof/83.8.494

Johnstone, J. A., & Dawson, T. E. 2010. Climatic context and ecological implications of summer fog decline in the coast redwood region. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(10), 4533-4538.

Kalashnikov, D. A., Abatzoglou, J. T., Nauslar, N. J., Swain, D. L., Touma, D., & Singh, D. (2022). Meteorological and geographical factors associated with dry lightning in central and northern California. Environmental Research: Climate, 1(2), 025001.

Koopman, M, D. DellaSala, P. Mantgem, B. Blom, J. Teraoka, R. Shearer, D. LaFever, and J. Seney. 2014. Managing an Ancient Ecosystem for the Modern World: Coast Redwoods and Climate Change. RedwoodsManuscript20141016 (climatewise.org). Accesse 9 Jan. 2024.

Greenlee, J. M., & Langenheim, J. H. (1990). Historic fire regimes and their relation to vegetation patterns in the Monterey Bay area of California. American Midland Naturalist, 239-253

McDonald, P. M., & Tappeiner II, J. C. (1987). Silviculture, ecology and management of tanoak in northern California. In Proceedings of the Symposium on Multiple-use Management of California's Hardwood Resources, November 12-14, 1986, San Luis Obispo, California. General Technical Report PSW-100 (pp. 64-70). Berkeley, CA: Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, US Department of Agriculture.

Munster, J., & Harden, J. W. 2002. Physical data of soil profiles formed on Late Quaternary marine terraces near Santa Cruz, California (No. 2002-316). US Geological Survey.

Noss, R.F. 1999. The Redwood Forest History, Ecology, and Conservation of the Coast Redwoods. Save the Redwood League. 366 pages.

Painter, Elizabeth L. “Threats to the California Flora: Ungulate Grazers and Browsers.” Madroño, vol. 42, no. 2, 1995, pp. 180–88. JSTOR, http://www.jstor.org/stable/41425065. Accessed 9 Jan. 2024.

Stuart, J. D. (1987). Fire history of an old-growth forest of *Sequoia sempervirens* (Taxodiaceae) forest in Humboldt Redwoods State Park, California. Madrono, 128-141.

Tirmenstein, D. 1990. *Vaccinium ovatum*. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/shrub/vacova/all.html [2024, January 9].

Uchytil, Ronald J. 1991. *Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. menziesii. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: https://www.fs.usda.gov/database/feis/plants/tree/psemenm/all.html [2024, January 9].

Van Wagtendonk, J. W., & Cayan, D. R. (2008). Temporal and spatial distribution of lightning strikes in California in relation to large-scale weather patterns. Fire Ecology, 4, 34-56.

Varner, J.M. and E.S. Jules. 2016. The Enigmatic Fire Regime of Coast Redwood Forests and Why it Matters. Proceedings of the Coast Redwood Science Symposium, Sequoia Conference Center, Eureka, CA. pp. 15-18.

Veirs Jr, S. D. (1980). The role of fire in northern coast redwood forest dynamics. In Proc. Second Conf. Scientific Research in National Parks (Nov. 26-30, 1979) San Francisco, Ca_ (Vol. 10, pp. 190-209).

Veirs, S. D. 1996. Ecology of the coast redwood. In J. LeBlanc (technical coordinator) Proceedings of the conference on coast redwood forest ecology and management (pp. 9-12).

Zinke, Paul J. 1977. Mineral cycling in fire-type ecosystems. In: Mooney, Harold A.; Conrad, C. Eugene, technical coordinators. Proc. of the symposium on the environmental consequences of fire and fuel management in

Mediterranean ecosystems; 1977 August 1-5; Palo Alto, CA. Gen. Tech. Rep. WO-3. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: 85-94.

Major Land Resource Area

MLRA 004B
Coastal Redwood Belt

Stage

Provisional

Contributors

Kendra Moseley

State and transition model



State 1
Reference State

The dynamics described below are general to the level that the site concept has been developed for provisional ecological site concept identification and further investigation purposes only. It is meant to give a general overview of the ecological dynamics of the system and should not be viewed as a model for a specific ecological site level management. It is supported by the current available literature that was reviewed for a general understanding of the system and basic understanding of the abiotic and biotic drivers. Further investigations and soil-site data collection and analysis should be conducted before specific land management can be applied at the ecological site specific scale. This STM only serves to explain the general ecology and dynamics. No alternative states were found during the literature review, however that does not mean they do not exist and more time should be spent determining whether or not this model captures all the dynamics of this system, especially once more is known about the soil-site characteristics of this LRU and ecological site concept. Reference State (State 1) – The reference state for this provisional ecological site concept is dominated by *Sequoia sempervirens* (coast redwood) and Pseudotsuga menzeisii (Douglas-fir), with a significant component of *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* (tanoak) and Arbutus menzeisii (Pacific madrone) in the lower canopy. The ecological dynamics represented in the reference state are driven primarily by periodic fires that create the complex dynamics and plant expressions reflected by the community phases described. Depending on the intensity, severity, timing, and weather conditions associated with each fire and which community phase is impacted by the fire, this ecological site will respond to varying degrees. At this very general scale, this reference state only really captures the generalities related to the functional groups that are most dominant and does not capture the more specific dynamics and patterns that would be found at the more detailed and refined ecological site scale that focuses on specific abiotic factors that drive some of these various complex plant expressions. More data and refinement is needed to capture the information needed in order to make specific land management decisions at the ecological site-component scale.

Community 1.1
Reference Community Phase

The reference community for this site is a redwood and Douglas-fir forest. Coast redwood dominates in the overstory, with Douglas-fir and tanoak and Pacific madrone found as associates in the subcanopy. The understory is shrub-dominated with *Vaccinium ovatum* (California huckleberry), Arbutus menzeisii (Pacific madrone), and *Gaultheria shallon* (salal). Occasionally *Polystichum munitum* (western swordfern) may be found in the understory layer, but forb cover is generally low. The estimated age for this community is 200 years or more. Windthrow from winter storms or small partial cuts can create small gaps which will provide openings for Douglas-fir and hardwoods to maintain their subcanopy dominance and potentially increase the cover of shrubs as well.

Community 1.2

This community phase represents a stand primarily dominated by Douglas-fir with redwoods as a sub-dominant with a higher cover of tanoak and/or Pacific rhododendron in the subcanopy and heavier cover of a variety of shrubs. This community phase will look very similar to the provisional ecological site concept that is dominated by Douglas-fir as the reference condition, so it will be important to understand the abiotic factors and influences of the site in order to distinguish this community phase from another provisional ecological site concept.

Community 1.3

Tanoak and/or Pacific madrone, blueblossom ceanothus, and western swordfern will rapidly establish the site after a disturbance with Douglas-fir and redwood seedlings present.

Pathway 1.1a
Community 1.1 to 1.2

The reference community may transition to Community Phase 1.2 following a temporary change in weather patterns that reduces the fog influence and summer moisture required for redwoods, opening the canopy as redwood mortality occurs providing more niche space for the more shade intolerant Douglas-fir. This community pathway could also occur if the timing of a moderate-intensity fire that removed many of the conifers occurred in combination with a short-term weather change that limited the moisture availability for redwoods to re-establish, giving significant edge to the Douglas-fir to establish and dominate. A selective timber harvest for redwoods would produce a similar result, albeit different in the impacts associated.

Pathway 1.1b
Community 1.1 to 1.3

The reference community may transition to Community Phase 1.3 following a significant fire that removes the conifers and hardwoods from the canopy and allows the understory shrubs to dominate for a short time as the conifers and hardwoods attempt to re-establish.

Pathway 1.2a
Community 1.2 to 1.1

With time, redwoods should gradually re-establish and will eventually take over dominance once again in the upper most canopy layer returning the site to Community Phase 1.1.

Pathway 1.2b
Community 1.2 to 1.3

This community phase may transition to Community Phase 1.3 following a significant fire that removes the conifers and hardwoods from the canopy and allows the understory shrubs to dominate for a short time as the conifers and hardwoods attempt to re-establish.

Pathway 1.3a
Community 1.3 to 1.2

With time, the conifers will re-establish dominance and overtop the shrubs. Douglas-fir will likely be the dominant conifer in the overstory for several years, since it more shade intolerant, requires less moisture to establish and grows quickly. Redwoods will regain dominance over time and thin out the Douglas-fir as it develops enough canopy to begin shading out the Douglas-fir.

Citations